arXiv:1612.06885v1 [hep-th] 20 Dec 2016

Minimal flux Minkowski classification

Niall T. Macpherson and Alessandro Tomasiello

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano–Bicocca,
Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy
and
INFN, sezione di Milano–Bicocca

niall.macpherson@mib.infn.it, alessandro.tomasiello@unimib.it

Abstract

We classify Minkowski$_4$ solutions in type IIA supergravity, with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry and an SU(2) R-symmetry of a certain type. Many subcases can be reduced to relatively simple PDEs, among which we recover various intersecting brane systems, and AdS$_d$ solutions, $d = 5, 6, 7$, and in particular the recently found general massive AdS$_7$ solutions. Imposing compactness of the internal six-manifold we obtain promising solutions with localized D-branes and O-planes.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The Ansatz **4**

3 Pure spinors **6**

4 Classification **8**

4.1 The $a_2 = b = 0$ case: $M_6 = S^2 \times T^2 \times M_2$ 9

4.1.1 $F_0 = 0$ case 11

4.1.2 $F_0 \neq 0$ case 11

4.2 The $a = 0$ case: $M_6 = S^2 \times S^1 \times M_3$ 12

4.2.1 $F_0 = 0$ Ansatz 14

4.2.2 $g = 0$ Ansatz 14

4.3 The $a_1 = b = 0$ case 15

4.3.1 $H_1 = 0$ Ansatz 17

4.3.2 $f_2 = 0$ Ansatz 18

4.4 The $a_1 = 0$, $b \neq 0$ case 20

4.4.1 $\partial^2_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) = 0$ Ansatz 22

4.4.2 $F_0 = 0$ Ansatz 22

4.5 Generic case 23

4.5.1 $F_0 = 0$ 24

4.5.2 $F_0 \neq 0$ 25

4.6 Summary of this section 26

5 Examples **27**

5.1 Compact M_6 27

5.2 AdS_7 29

5.3 AdS_6 31

5.4 AdS_5 32

A Spinors on S^2 **34**

B Pure spinors from six to four dimensions **38**

C Alternative classification of $b = a_1 = 0$ case **39**

D The General $AdS_5 \times S^2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions in M-theory **41**

1 Introduction

String theory compactifications become harder to find as the cosmological constant of the spacetime factor increases. There are many known families of AdS examples ($\Lambda < 0$); the oldest known type has a Sasaki–Einstein as internal space, but many other possibilities have been found over the years.

Finding de Sitter examples ($\Lambda > 0$) is infamously much harder. Several no-go results [1–3] imply that this is only possible at the cost of including quantum corrections and/or orientifold sources. Supersymmetry has to be broken in this case, which is of course to be expected at some scale anyway, but which makes finding such solutions harder.

For Minkowski solutions ($\Lambda = 0$), supersymmetry can still be preserved. One expects intuitively this case to be much rarer than AdS already because setting $\Lambda = 0$ looks like a fine-tuning. Indeed the de Sitter no-go theorems [1–3] also apply here, with the only exception of solutions where the metric is the only non-zero field. In this case the internal space has to be Ricci-flat. For compactifications of type II supergravity to four dimensions, these are Calabi–Yau manifolds. These exist in large numbers, and their study has been very fruitful to string theory and to geometry. But a more general study of Minkowski solutions can be useful both as a laboratory for string theory dynamics and as intermediate construction for the $\Lambda > 0$ case.

To add the other supergravity fields, the antisymmetric fields often called "fluxes", one obvious possibility is to add D-branes: a probe analysis shows that these can be wrapped on complex or special Lagrangian cycles of the Calabi–Yau while preserving half of the supercharges. The Bianchi identity shows that one also has to add O-planes, in agreement with the general no-go theorems. One expects that the backreaction of these objects should then distort the internal metric. In general these metrics, while expected to exist, are not easy to exhibit explicitly (after all the Calabi–Yau metrics themselves are not known). For the special case of D3–O3 configurations, the distortion treats all the internal coordinates in the same way, and one ends up with a conformally Calabi–Yau M_6. Remarkably, one can also add a combination of three-form fluxes (as long as they are $(2,1)$ and primitive) without breaking supersymmetry [4–7]. However, these solutions are still based on modifications of the Calabi–Yau geometry.

In full generality, supersymmetry imposes [8] that the internal M_6 should be a so-called "generalized Calabi–Yau" [9,10] with extra conditions involving the fluxes. Still, explicit examples where all these conditions are met are hard to obtain. Since several generalized Calabi–Yau's have been found, it is natural to start from those and to try

to impose the extra flux conditions on them. One can obtain a few formal solutions in this way [11], but they rely on the presence of sources which are smeared all over M_6: this can be fine for D-branes, but not for O-planes, which are not dynamical and should sit at fixed loci of involutions. One can hope that such formal solutions are an "approximation" to more sensible ones where the sources are localized, but this needs to be demonstrated case by case.[1]

More sophisticated attempt have been made. For example [16] looked for solutions with localized sources on solvmanifolds in bigger generality than in [11], finding examples again when the internal space is Ricci-flat. [17] recently looked at an Ansatz inspired by the conformal Calabi–Yau class [4–7] and proved some general results. Impressively, [18, 19] used a mix of generalized complex geometric and algebraic geometric methods to produce U-fold Minkowski$_4$ solutions.

In this paper we take a different approach. Rather than making an Ansatz on the type of internal geometry or topology, we choose a broad class, and we let supersymmetry fix the internal geometry. This can succeed because, in some situations, there are enough internal spinors so that their bilinears can define an entire vielbein (in this context, an "identity structure"). While this phenomenon has been known for a long time, it has become clearer in recent times that the local metrics thus naturally chosen by supersymmetry often tend to have automatically the correct behaviors one would expect from O-planes and D-branes. For example, AdS$_7$ solutions naturally allow for localized O6-sources [20], and the same is true for their AdS$_4$ cousins obtained by twisted compactification [21]. Since, as we mentioned, O-planes are essential for Minkowski compactifications, it feels natural to apply to them as well this "identity structure" approach.

We thus analyze systematically a broad class of solutions, defined by its type of supersymmetry rather than by the internal topology. The class we chose lies at the intersection of several already existing physical constructions. It is rich enough for the classification to be interesting, and yet constrained enough for it to be very detailed. It consists of solutions with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ unbroken supersymmetry, which admit an SU(2) R-symmetry, and which are "minimal" in a certain sense having to do with the spinor parameters. We assumed the presence of the R-symmetry so as to allow us to recover various AdS$_d$ solutions with $d = 5, 6, 7$; in particular the AdS$_7$ solutions recently ob-

[1] One finds similar issues for AdS solutions, if one tries to make Λ much smaller than the typical size of the internal space. This can be achieved in formal solutions with smeared O-planes [12–14]. The localization of the O-planes of [12, 13] is in principle possible [15], but for the whole solutionit remains to be demonstrated. It would be interesting to apply our present methods to this problem as well.

tained in massive IIA [20, 22] and the M-theory AdS_5 solutions of [23]. (This latter point suggests that our results should be useful to look for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ solutions in massive IIA as well.) As to the above-mentioned minimality, it is the most elegant way to solve a certain algebraic constraint, and it is enough for the metric to be completely determined by supersymmetry.

Within our class, we reduce the classification to three broad subclasses (see figure 1 below for a visual summary). Within each, preserved supersymmetry can be reduced to a system of PDEs; sometimes further sub-subclasses suggest themselves, and within each the PDEs simplify considerably. Some of these systems have appeared earlier in the literature for intersecting brane solutions [24–26]. In fact the local form of the metric formally resembles in many cases that of a brane or of a brane system. One of the three subclasses is T-dual in its entirety to the conformal Calabi–Yau solutions [4–7].

We will also illustrate the classification with some examples. As we already mentioned, we recovered several classes of AdS_d solutions, $d = 5, 6, 7$. We also studied the case where M_6 is compact. Even for the PDE systems that were already postulated in the literature for intersecting branes, this had not been really attempted. We will find a couple of workable examples; in particular one with an O6 and an ONS5. More work is required for a more thorough understanding of the various possibilities offered by our results.

In section 2 we introduce our Ansatz. After some preliminary work in section 3 about the pure spinor formalism we use, we give our detailed classification in section 4, with a summary in section 4.6. We conclude with examples in section 5.

Note added. While this work was being completed, [27] appeared, which has some overlap with our section 5.2 about recovering AdS_7 solutions.

2 The Ansatz

In this section, we will motivate and introduce our Ansatz. We are looking for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Mink_4 solutions with an SU(2) R-symmetry, that are minimal in a sense we will explain.

As is customary we take the metric to be a warped product

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^{2A} ds_{\text{Mink}_4}^2 + ds_6^2 \tag{2.1}$$

with the warping function A and the dilaton ϕ depending on the internal space M_6 only. We denote by f the total internal RR flux, which is an even form; the "external" flux, which has legs along Mink_4, can then be determined so that $F_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{k=0}^{5} F_{2k}$ is self-dual.

The supersymmetry parameters for an $\mathcal{N}=2$ solution with flux in general read

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 &= \sum_b \zeta_+^b \otimes \chi_{1+}^b + \text{c.c.} \\ \epsilon_2 &= \sum_b \zeta_+^b \otimes \chi_{2-}^b + \text{c.c.}\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where ζ and χ denote spinors on Mink_4 and M_6 respectively. (We define $(\zeta_+)^c = \zeta_-$, $(\chi_{a+}^b)^c = \chi_{a-}^b$.)

Minkowski solutions don't necessarily have an R-symmetry. Eventually, however, we would like to apply our results to AdS_d solutions with $d > 4$. Indeed for example AdS_5 solutions can be viewed as Mink_4 solutions by taking in (2.1)

$$e^A = e^{A_5+\rho} \ , \qquad ds_6^2 = e^{2A_5} d\rho^2 + ds_5^2 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

For AdS solutions R-symmetry has to be present: this is ultimately because it is necessarily a part of the superconformal algebra, even though it is optional in supersymmetry. In particular, AdS solutions with eight supercharges have an SU(2) R-symmetry.

Thus we will assume the existence of an SU(2) R-symmetry. It will act on M_6 as an isometry. This means that there should be a round S^2 factor in M_6; more precisely, M_6 has a foliation whose generic leaf is an S^2. We will call M_4 the space of leaves (the "base"). The S^2 cannot be nontrivially fibred over M_4 by a connection, since this would break the SU(2) isometry.

In this situation, the spinor Ansatz needs to be refined from the general (2.2). The ζ^a are a doublet under $\text{SU}(2)_\text{R}$; thus we also need a doublet of spinors ξ^a in the internal space, so that the ten-dimensional $\epsilon_{1,2}$ are invariant. In fact, since $\text{SU}(2)_\text{R}$ acts only on the S^2, the ξ^a need to transform as a doublet under the isometry group of S^2. Such a doublet is given by the so-called Killing spinors on S^2, which is also related to its Killing vectors. It is of the form

$$\xi^a = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \xi^c \end{pmatrix} \ ; \tag{2.4}$$

see appendix A for details.

We can also play with chirality projections, to obtain doublets $\zeta_\pm^a$ and $\xi_\pm^a$ on Mink_4 and S^2 respectively. So there are four possible $\text{SU}(2)_\text{R}$ singlets; this leads us to[2]

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 &= \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_+^a \otimes \eta_+^1 + \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_-^a \otimes \eta_-^1 + \zeta_-^a \otimes \xi_-^a \otimes \tilde{\eta}_+^1 + \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_+^a \otimes \tilde{\eta}_-^1 + \text{c.c.}, \\ \epsilon_2 &= \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_+^a \otimes \eta_-^2 + \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_-^a \otimes \eta_+^2 + \zeta_-^a \otimes \xi_-^a \otimes \tilde{\eta}_-^2 + \zeta_+^a \otimes \xi_+^a \otimes \tilde{\eta}_+^2 + \text{c.c},\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

[2]The class we selected in this paper was also partially inspired by the AdS_4 solutions in [21]; in that paper, however, the doublet on S^2 is paired up with a doublet on a factor Σ_3 of the internal M_6. In that case the R-symmetry is absent in the AdS_4 solution, because the solutions are $\mathcal{N}=1$.

where the η^a, $\tilde{\eta}^a$ are now spinors on M_4. From this one can read off the spinors χ^a_b on M_6 by comparing with (2.2). However, one of the first condition one encounters when working with (2.5) is that the norms of the χ^b_a should be proportional to e^A. For $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{R}}$ to be unbroken, one needs this to be a constant on S^2. This turns out to be a stringent condition. The most natural way to satisfy it is to take the $\tilde{\eta}^a = 0$ and to take the η^a to satisfy

$$||\eta^a_+||^2 = ||\eta^a_-||^2 \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Now we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= \zeta^a_+ \otimes \xi^a_+ \otimes \eta^1_+ + \zeta^a_+ \otimes \xi^a_- \otimes \eta^1_- + \text{c.c.}, \\ \epsilon_2 &= \zeta^a_+ \otimes \xi^a_+ \otimes \eta^2_- + \zeta^a_+ \otimes \xi^a_- \otimes \eta^2_+ + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

This is what we call the "minimal" class. In the rest of the paper we will classify solutions of this type. As we will see, the geometry is already constrained enough that a very detailed classification is possible; this is ultimately due to the fact that the internal spinors χ^a_b define an identity structure.

3 Pure spinors

Having described our "minimal" Ansatz (2.7), we will now classify its solutions. We will use the pure spinor formalism [8].

First of all, there is no need for us to consider all the χ^a_b. If we impose that an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ subalgebra is preserved (corresponding for example to the $\chi_a \equiv \chi^1_a$), R-symmetry will imply automatically that the second set of supercharges is also preserved. So we can simply work with the two internal spinors

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^1_+ &= \xi_+ \otimes \eta^1_+ + \xi_- \otimes \eta^1_-, \\ \chi^2_- &= \xi_+ \otimes \eta^2_- + \xi_- \otimes \eta^2_+ \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If we define the bispinors

$$\Phi_- = \chi^1_+ \otimes \chi^{2\dagger}_- \ , \qquad \Phi_+ = \chi^1_+ \otimes \overline{\chi}^2_-, \tag{3.2}$$

the differential forms associated to them via the Clifford map are pure spinors for the $\mathrm{Cl}(6,6)$ algebra living on the "generalized tangent bundle" $T \oplus T^*$. Preserved supersymmetry is equivalent to the following "pure spinor equations" [8] on M_6:

$$d_H \left(e^{3A-\Phi}\Phi_+\right) = 0, \tag{3.3a}$$

$$d_H \left(e^{2A-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Phi_-\right) = 0, \tag{3.3b}$$

$$d_H \left(e^{4A-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Phi_-\right) = \frac{e^{4A}}{8} \star_6 \lambda(f), \tag{3.3c}$$

where $\lambda(f) = \lambda(f_0 + f_2 + f_4 + f_6) = f_0 - f_2 + f_4 - f_6$.

The decomposition (3.1) induces a decomposition of the pure spinors $\Phi_\pm$; this can be used to reduce the system (3.3) to one on M_4. One can define

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \eta^1 \otimes \eta^{2\dagger} \ , \qquad \tilde{\Psi} = \eta^1 \otimes \overline{\eta}^2, \\ \Psi_{\hat{\gamma}} &= (\hat{\gamma}\eta^1) \otimes \eta^{2\dagger} \ , \qquad \tilde{\Psi}_{\hat{\gamma}} = (\hat{\gamma}\eta^1) \otimes \overline{\eta}^2 \ , . \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\eta^i = \eta^i_+ + \eta^i_-$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ is the chiral gamma in M_4. It is also convenient to split metric and fluxes as

$$ds_6^2 = e^{2C} ds^2(S^2) + ds^2(M_4), \tag{3.5a}$$

$$B = B_2 + e^{2C} B_0 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \tag{3.5b}$$

$$f = F + e^{2C} G \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ . \tag{3.5c}$$

after defining functions C, B_0 and forms B_2, F, G on M_4. One obtains in this way several equations for Ψ and $\Psi_{\hat{\gamma}}$, which we give in appendix B. One of the consequences is that the zero-form parts of both Ψ and $\Psi_{\hat{\gamma}}$ must vanish:

$$\Psi_0 = (\Psi_{\hat{\gamma}})_0 = 0 \ . \tag{3.6}$$

A spinor η in four dimensions defines an associated basis

$$\{\eta, \eta^c, \hat{\gamma}\eta, \hat{\gamma}\eta^c\} \tag{3.7}$$

for the space of spinors. Thus we can expand η^2 on the basis associated to η^1:

$$\eta^1 = \eta \ , \qquad \eta^2 = a_0 \eta + a\hat{\gamma}\eta + b\eta^c + b_0 \hat{\gamma}\eta^c \ . \tag{3.8}$$

(2.6) makes (3.7) orthonormal and η unit norm; so $|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2 + |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Since $\eta^{2\dagger}\eta^1 = \overline{a}_0$ and $\overline{\eta}^2 \hat{\gamma} \eta^1 = \overline{b}_0$, we must set $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ to satisfy (3.6); so we have

$$|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

One expects from that constraint an orthogonal SU(2) structure on M_6. We can parameterize it as in [28, Sec. 3.2] using the spinors

$$v_m = \eta^{2\dagger}_- \gamma_m \eta^1_+ \ , \qquad w_m = \overline{\eta^2_-} \gamma_m \eta^1_+ \ . \tag{3.10}$$

The pure spinors $\Phi_\pm$ on M_6 then read

$$\Phi_+ = \frac{1}{8} E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} E_3 \wedge \overline{E}_3} \ , \qquad \Phi_- = \frac{1}{8} E_3 \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}(E_1 \wedge \overline{E}_1 + E_2 \wedge \overline{E}_2)} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= b(e^C dy_3 - y_3 v_2) + i(aw + b v_1), \\
E_2 &= -(e^C d(y_1 + i y_2) - (y_1 + i y_2) v_2), \\
E_3 &= i\overline{a}(e^C dy_3 - y_3 v_2) + (\overline{b} w - \overline{a} v_1) \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

Here $v = v_1 + i v_2$, $w = w_1 + i w_2$, and the y_a are the three "embedding coordinates" of S^2 defined in (A.22).

In terms of the parameterization in (3.8), the pure spinors on M_4 can be written as

$$
\Psi^{\rm odd} = -\frac{1}{4} i\overline{a} v_2 \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w} - \frac{\overline{b}}{\overline{a}} v_1 \wedge w} \ , \qquad \Psi^{\rm even} = -\frac{1}{4} i v_2 \wedge (\overline{b} w - \overline{a} v_1) \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w}}, \tag{3.13a}
$$

$$
\Psi^{\rm odd}_{\hat{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{4} \big(\overline{b} w - \overline{a} v_1\big) \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w}} \ , \qquad \Psi^{\rm even}_{\hat{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{4} \overline{a} e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w} - \frac{\overline{b}}{\overline{a}} v_1 \wedge w}, \tag{3.13b}
$$

$$
\tilde{\Psi}^{\rm odd} = -\frac{1}{4} \big(a w + b v_1\big) \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w}} \ , \qquad \tilde{\Psi}^{\rm even} = -\frac{1}{4} b e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w} + \frac{a}{b} v_1 \wedge w}, \tag{3.13c}
$$

$$
\tilde{\Psi}^{\rm odd}_{\hat{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{4} i b v_2 \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w} + \frac{a}{b} v_1 \wedge w} \ , \qquad \tilde{\Psi}^{\rm even}_{\hat{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{4} i v_2 \wedge (a w + b v_1) \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} w\wedge\overline{w}}. \tag{3.13d}
$$

We can actually take b to be real. To see this, notice that (3.13) and (B.5b) yield the 1-form constraint

$$
d(e^{3A+2C-\Phi} b) + 2 b e^{3A+2C-\Phi} v_2 = 0. \tag{3.14}
$$

This implies that $b d\overline{b} = \overline{b} d b$ and so $b = |b| e^{i\beta_0}$ for $d\beta_0 = 0$. Now, sending $w \to e^{i\beta_0} w$ in (3.13) is equivalent to sending $b, \overline{b} \to |b|$ everywhere they appear and multiplying $\tilde{\Psi}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ by $e^{i\beta_0}$ in (B.5a)–(B.5b). However, since β_0 is constant, these phases leave these conditions unchanged; so without loss of generality we can set $\beta_0 = 0$. We now expand $a \equiv a_1 + i a_2$, so that (3.9) now reads

$$
a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b^2 = 1 \ . \tag{3.15}
$$

Notice that the analysis in this part of the paper is very similar to the AdS_5 classification in [29].

Since we have a^{-1} and b^{-1} appearing in (3.13), we should treat the cases where these vanish individually, before performing a general analysis for arbitrary non zero a and b. This is what we will now proceed to do.

4 Classification

We have obtained a pure spinor parameterization (3.13) in terms of three real functions a_1, a_2, b. Some of the cases when one or more of these parameters vanish have to be

studied separately. This gives rise to a ramified structure; we will study it in detail in this section. Sections 4.1 and 4.2 are not strictly needed in the ramification, in the sense that their results can be obtained as limits from sections 4.5 and 4.4 respectively. Sections 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 are instead all substantially different, and have to be dealt with separately. We give a brief summary in section 4.6.

4.1 The $a_2 = b = 0$ case: $M_6 = S^2 \times T^2 \times M_2$

We begin by examining the case where $b = a_2 = 0$, choosing $a_1 = 1$ to satisfy (3.15) without loss of generality. In fact this case can be obtained from the "generic" case of section 4.5, in the sense that the solutions of the current subsection can be obtained by taking the $b \to 0$, $a_2 \to 0$ limit from that subsection. However, we found it clearer to deal with this particular case separately in the present subsection, especially since the solutions obtained here can be used as seed solutions for the "generic" ones.

Upon inserting the definitions of (3.13) into (B.5)–(B.7) we are able to show that the conditions for unbroken supersymmetry reduce to

$$B_2 = 0, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$d(e^{-A}w) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A-\Phi}v_1) = 0, \qquad d(e^{4A+C-\Phi}) + e^{4A-\Phi}v_2 = 0, \tag{4.1b}$$

$$d(e^{-4A+\Phi}(v_1 + B_0 v_2)) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}(B_0 v_1 - v_2)) = 0. \tag{4.1c}$$

This dramatic simplification of the supersymmetry conditions, and the techniques we will use to solve them, are prototypical of what we find for the entire minimal class we study, as such we will give more details here than we shall in subsequent sections.

(4.1a) means that the NS three-form must have two of its legs on S^2. The next conditions (4.1b) can be solved by defining local coordinates x_1, x_3, x_4 and

$$x_2 = e^{4A+C-\Phi}, \tag{4.2}$$

in terms of which the vielbein on M_4 is completely determined as

$$v_1 = -e^{-2A+\Phi}dx_1, \quad v_2 = -e^{-4A+\Phi}dx_2, \qquad w = e^{A}(dx_3 + i dx_4). \tag{4.3}$$

The remaining conditions (4.1c) then give rise to the first order PDEs

$$\partial_{x_2}(e^{-6A+2\Phi}) + \partial_{x_1}(e^{-8A+2\Phi}B_0) = 0, \tag{4.4a}$$

$$\partial_{x_2}(e^{-8A+2\Phi}B_0 x_2^2) - \partial_{x_1}(e^{-10A+2\Phi}x_2^2) = 0, \tag{4.4b}$$

and inform us that e^A, e^C, B_0, Φ depend on x_1, x_2 only. In other words, ∂_{x_3} and ∂_{x_4} are isometries of the metric which define a 2-torus, so that the internal manifold M_6 has a metric of the form

$$ds_6^2 = e^{2A} ds^2(T^2) + e^{-4A+2\Phi} dx_1^2 + e^{-8A+2\Phi} \Big(dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2) \Big). \tag{4.5}$$

We see that x_2 is naturally a radial coordinate. Moreover, the metric is formally of the type one gets by superimposing a D6 whose harmonic function is e^{-4A}, and an NS5 whose harmonic function is $e^{-6A+2\phi}$. The last parenthesis looks like an $\mathbb{R}^3$, but it can potentially be made compact with the help of the prefactor; we will come back to this point in section 5.1.

The next thing we need to determine are the RR fluxes. These follow from (B.9), which reduce in this case to

$$2e^{4A} \star_4 G = id(e^{4A-\Phi} v_1 \wedge w \wedge \overline{w}), \tag{4.6a}$$

$$2e^{4A+2C} \star_4 F = -id(e^{4A-\Phi} v_2 \wedge w \wedge \overline{w}) + ie^{4A-\Phi} d(e^{2C} B_0) \wedge v_1 \wedge w \wedge \overline{w} \ . \tag{4.6b}$$

We can then use (4.3) to take the Hodge dual of these expressions and arrive at

$$B = e^{-8A+2\Phi} B_0 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \tag{4.7a}$$

$$F_0 = 2e^{-2\Phi} \partial_{x_1}(e^{2A}), \tag{4.7b}$$

$$F_2 = BF_0 - x_2^2 \big(\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A}) - e^{-2A} B_0 \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) \big) \mathrm{Vol}(S^2). \tag{4.7c}$$

The fluxes do not depend on the T^2 directions and they have no legs along them. Moreover, the factor in front of the T^2 metric in (4.5) is e^{2A}; so the metric can be reassembled as $ds^2_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times T^2} + ds_4^2$. In the local analysis, the present case is then identical to Mink_6 compactifications.

The last thing we need to impose to ensure these are supergravity solutions is that the Bianchi identities of the RR fluxes are satisfied. In this case this merely requires that F_0 is constant and that

$$\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A}) - e^{-2A} B_0 \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) = -\frac{c_1}{x_2^2} \tag{4.8}$$

for some constant c_1. This in addition to (4.4a)–(4.4b) gives 3 PDE's that need to be solved. However, by stipulating whether we have the Romans mass turned on or not, we can reduce them to a single PDE for each case — which we proceed to do.

4.1.1 $F_0 = 0$ case

From (4.7b) it is clear that imposing $F_0 = 0$ requires that $A = A(x_2)$, from which it follows that

$$e^{-4A} = c_2 + \frac{c_1}{x_2}, \tag{4.9}$$

which is behaviour appropriate for the warp factor of either a stack of D6-branes or an O6-plane, depending on the sign of c_1. Indeed if we also set $B_0 = 0$ we are quickly led to $e^{\Phi} = e^{3A}$, and (4.5) becomes that of the flat space D6/O6 metric. For $B_0 \neq 0$ things are more complicated, but we can make progress by noting that (4.4a) is an integrability condition which implies

$$e^{-6A+2\Phi} = \partial_{x_1} h(x_1, x_2), \qquad B_0 = e^{2A} \frac{\partial_{x_2} h(x_1, x_2)}{\partial_{x_1} h(x_1, x_2)} \ . \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.4b) we then get a single PDE to solve:

$$\frac{1}{x_2^2} \partial_{x_2} (x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} h) + e^{-4A} \partial_{x_1}^2 h = 0 \ . \tag{4.11}$$

Notice that the first term is a Laplacian on $dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds_{S^2}^2$. This is a particular case of the system used in [25, 26] to investigate NS5–D6 intersecting branes; this is related to our comment below (4.5).[3]

4.1.2 $F_0 \neq 0$ case

With $F_0 \neq 0$ we can use (4.7b) to define the dilaton and (4.8) to define B_0 as

$$B_0 = e^{2A} \frac{c_1 + x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})}{x_2^2 \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A})}, \qquad e^{2\Phi} = \frac{2}{F_0} \partial_{x_1} e^{2A}. \tag{4.12}$$

These definitions solve (4.4a) automatically and reduce (4.4b) to a PDE in e^{-4A} only, namely

$$\frac{1}{x_2^2} \partial_{x_2} (x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} (e^{-4A})) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_1}^2 (e^{-8A}) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Again this reduces to [25, 26] (see footnote 3), this time to be interpreted as a system relevant to NS5–D6–D8 brane systems.

[3] In the language of [25], the metric is written as $ds^2 = S^{-1/2} ds^2_{\text{Mink}_6} + K(S^{-1/2} dz^2 + S^{1/2} ds^2_{\mathbb{R}^3})$; the supersymmetry and Bianchi equations reduce to $F_0 K = -4\partial_z S$, $\Delta K + \partial_z^2 (SK) = 0$, $\Delta S + \frac{1}{2}\partial_z^2 (S^2) = 0$. For $F_0 = 0$, this is $\partial_z S = \Delta S = 0$, $\Delta K + S\partial_z^2 K = 0$, which incidentally also looks similar to the equation in [24]. This corresponds to our case, identifying x_1 with z, $S = e^{-4A}$, $K = e^{-6A+2\phi}$ and taking ∂_{x_1} of (4.11). In the $F_0 \neq 0$ case, the equation for K follows from the one for S and can be dropped.

4.2 The $a = 0$ case: $M_6 = S^2 \times S^1 \times M_3$

In this subsection we set $a = 0$ and choose $b = 1$ to satisfy (3.15). This case is actually a subcase of the case $a_1 = 0$, $b \neq 0$, which we will analyze later in section 4.4, in the sense that the solutions of the present subsection can all be obtained by taking the $a_2 \to 0$ limit in section 4.4. In particular, the present subcase will turn out to be related to conformal Calabi–Yau solutions [4–7], since the larger case of section 4.4 will be. Nevertheless, we present the $a = 0$, $b = 1$ subcase separately for clarity.

The necessary and sufficient conditions for unbroken supersymmetry become

$$B_2 = -B_0 v_1 \wedge v_2, \tag{4.14a}$$

$$d(e^A \mathrm{Re} w) = 0, \qquad d(e^{-A} \mathrm{Im} w) = 0, \tag{4.14b}$$

$$d(e^{3/2A - 1/2\Phi} v_1) = 0, \qquad d(e^{3A+2C-\Phi}) + 2e^{3A+C-\Phi} v_2 = 0, \tag{4.14c}$$

$$d(e^{2A-\Phi} \mathrm{Re} w) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A+2C-\Phi} B_0 \mathrm{Re} w) = 0, \tag{4.14d}$$

$$d\big((1 + B_0^2) e^{2C} v_1 \wedge v_2\big) \wedge \mathrm{Re} w = 0, . \tag{4.14e}$$

The first thing we see is that (4.14a) implies that, unlike the case of section 4.1, the generic NS 3-form has a contribution orthogonal to the S^2 directions. As before we can solve several of supersymmetry conditions by appropriately choosing local coordinates that define the vielbein on M_4. We take

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= e^{-3/2A + \frac{1}{2}\Phi} dx_1, \qquad v_2 = -e^{-3A-C+\Phi} x_2 dx_2, \\ w &= -(e^{-A} dx_3 + i e^A dx_4) \ , \qquad x_2^2 = e^{3A+2C-\Phi} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

This solves (4.14b)–(4.14c). The remaining conditions (4.14d)–(4.14e) are then uniquely solved by

$$e^{A-\Phi} = f(x_3), \qquad e^{2C} B_0 = g(x_3), \qquad \partial_{x_4} A = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

from which it follows that ∂_{x_4} is an isometry. We take ∂_{x_4} to define a S^1 so that the internal manifold M_6 has a metric of the form

$$ds_6^2 = e^{2A} ds^2(S^1) + \frac{e^{-2A}}{f} \left(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + f dx_3^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2) \right) \ . \tag{4.17}$$

Formally this looks like a superposition of a D4 with harmonic function e^{-4A} and of an NS5 with harmonic function $1/f$, although we will see that the interpretation is a bit more subtle.

The fluxes are extracted as before by inserting (3.13) into (B.9) and then using (4.15) to take the Hodge dual. We finally arrive at

$$B = g\,\mathcal{C}_2, \qquad \mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2}{x_2^2} + \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \tag{4.18a}$$

$$F_0 = \partial_{x_3} f, \qquad F_2 = BF_0 - \partial_{x_3}(fg)\mathcal{C}_2 = -f\partial_{x_3} g\mathcal{C}_2, \tag{4.18b}$$

$$F_4 = B \wedge F_2 - \frac{1}{2} B \wedge BF_0 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_3}(fg^2)\mathcal{C}_2 \wedge \mathcal{C}_2 \tag{4.18c}$$

$$+ x_2^2\Big(\partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A})dx_2 \wedge dx_3 - \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + \partial_{x_3}(f^{-1}e^{-4A})dx_1 \wedge dx_2\Big) \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2)\ .$$

These have no legs on the S^1 spanned by x_4. So, analogously to what happened in section 4.1, the solution has an $\mathrm{Mink}_4 \times S^1$ factor, and can be locally viewed as a Mink_5 compactification.

Ensuring that the parts of (4.18b) that do not manifestly give rise to the Bianchi identities are closed then implies

$$\partial_{x_3}^2 f = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_3}^2 (fg) = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

which lead in general to

$$f = c_1 + F_0 x_3, \qquad fg = (c_2 + c_3 x_3). \tag{4.20}$$

On the other hand (4.18c) implies that the F_4 Bianchi identity follows from the PDE

$$\partial_{x_1}^2(e^{-4A}) + \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})) + \partial_{x_3}^2(f^{-1}e^{-4A}) + \frac{1}{x_2^4}\partial_{x_3}^2(fg^2) = 0, \tag{4.21}$$

which is the only thing left to solve.

We notice this is a generalisation of the PDE leading to the fully localised D4-D8 system of [24], reducing to it when $g = 0$. Actually, more generally only

$$\partial_{x_3} g = 0 \tag{4.22}$$

is required, as in this case the influence of g is a pure NS 2-form.

The final term in (4.21) makes it hard to solve in general. To make progress, we define A in terms of an arbitrary function $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ as

$$e^{-4A} = \frac{f}{x_2^2}\Big[x_2^2 h(x_1, x_2, x_3) - (\partial_{x_3} g)^2\Big] \tag{4.23}$$

from which it follows that

$$\partial_{x_3}^2 h + f\left(\frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}h) + \partial_{x_1}^2 h\right) = 20\left(\frac{\partial_{x_3}f\partial_{x_3}g}{f x_2}\right)^2. \tag{4.24}$$

This suggests an additional way to solve the PDE (4.21), namely

$$\partial_{x_3} f = 0, \tag{4.25}$$

which is equivalent to $F_0 = 0$.

We will now analyze the cases (4.22) and (4.25) in turn.

4.2.1 $F_0 = 0$ Ansatz

When $F_0 = 0$ we can without loss of generality set $f = 1$ and the solutions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_6^2 &= e^{2A}ds^2(S^1) + e^{-2A}\left(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)\right), \qquad e^{-4A} = e^{-4\Phi} = \frac{x_2^2 h - c^2}{x_2^2}, \\ B &= c x_3 \mathcal{C}_2, \qquad F_2 = -c\,\mathcal{C}_2, \qquad \mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2}{x_2^2} + \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \\ F_4 &= \frac{x_2^2}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_{x_k}(e^{-4A})dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \qquad \partial_{x_1}^2 h + \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}h) + \partial_{x_3}^2 h = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where with respect to (4.20) we have set $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 0$, $c_3 = c$, the effect of which is a rescaling in g_s and turning off a closed part of the NS 2-form. Notice that the PDE defining these solutions is simply the flat space Laplace equation in five dimensions, with $SO(3)$ rotational symmetry to accommodate the R-symmetry. Now the metric looks formally like the backreaction of a D4 with harmonic function e^{-4A}, but there are more fluxes switched on.

4.2.2 $g = 0$ Ansatz

As previously stated, setting $g = 0$ puts us in the class of [24] containing the localised D4-D8 system, albeit with one of the common world volume directions compactified on S^1, so this is not new. However, since we will find generalisations of this later, we

present the form of the solution here for comparison:

$$ds_6^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}\sqrt{h}} ds^2(S^1) + \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{f}} \left(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2) \right) + \sqrt{h}\sqrt{f} dx_3^2, \qquad e^{-4A} = fh,$$

$$F_0 = \partial_{x_3} f, \qquad e^{A-\Phi} = f, \qquad f = (c + F_0 x_3),$$

$$F_4 = \frac{x_2^2}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} dx_i \wedge dx_j \partial_{x_k} h, \qquad \partial_{x_3}^2 h + f \left(\frac{1}{x_2^2} \partial_{x_2} (x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} h) + \partial_{x_1}^2 h \right) = 0, \tag{4.27}$$

4.3 The $a_1 = b = 0$ case

In this subsection we will study the $\{b = a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1\}$ case. In this case supersymmetry follows from the following conditions:

$$B_2 = 0, \tag{4.28a}$$

$$d(e^A w) = 0, \qquad d\left[e^{-2A+\Phi}(v_1 - e^C H_1)\right] = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A+C-\Phi}) + e^{2A-\Phi} v_2 = 0, \tag{4.28b}$$

$$d(e^{-\Phi} v_1) \wedge w \wedge \overline{w} = 0, \qquad \left[d(e^{2C-\Phi} v_2) - e^{2C-\Phi} H_1 \wedge v_1 \right] \wedge w \wedge \overline{w} = 0 \ , \tag{4.28c}$$

where $e^{2C} H_1 = d(e^{2C} B_0)$ (see (B.4)). We immediately see from (4.28a) that there is no NS 3-from flux orthogonal to the S^2 directions. We solve (4.28b) by defining the vielbein and local coordinates

$$v_1 = e^{2A-\Phi} \left(dx_1 + \frac{1}{x_2} e^{2C} H_1 \right), \qquad v_2 = -e^{-2A+\Phi} dx_2, \tag{4.29a}$$

$$w = e^{-A}(dx_3 + i dx_4), \qquad x_2 = e^{2A+C-\Phi} \ . \tag{4.29b}$$

We notice that we have $e^{2C} H_1$ appearing in the vielbein for the first time. Since we have fewer equations, we have no freedom to choose which supersymmetry conditions define the vielbein, and thus we cannot avoid this complication as we did in sections 4.1 and 4.2. In what follows we find it useful to decompose the NS form and define the physical fields as

$$e^{2C} H_1 = \Big[f_1 dx_1 + f_2 dx_2 + f_3 dx_3 + f_4 dx_4 \Big], \qquad e^{2A-2\Phi} = x_2 h_1, \qquad e^{-6A+2\Phi} x_2^2 = h_2 \ . \tag{4.30}$$

f_i, h_i are functions of $(x_1, \ldots, x_4)$ in terms of which (4.28c), together with the fact that $e^{2C} H_1$ should be closed, impose the PDE's

$$\partial_{x_i} f_j - \partial_{x_j} f_i = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_2}((f_1 + x_2) h_1) = \partial_{x_1}(f_2 h_1), \qquad \partial_{x_1} h_2 = x_2 h_1 f_2. \tag{4.31}$$

and no further conditions. In particular there is a priori no isometry on M_4. The metric is

$$ds_6^2 = e^{4A-2\Phi}\left(dx_1+\frac{1}{x_2}e^{2C}H_1\right)^2+e^{-4A+2\Phi}\left(dx_2^2+x_2^2ds^2(S^2)\right)+e^{-2A}\left(dx_3^2+dx_4^2\right). \quad (4.32)$$

As before we can extract the RR fluxes from (B.9) which in terms of (4.30) can be expressed as

$$H_3 = e^{2C}H_1\mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \qquad F_0 = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \big((f_1+x_2)dx_1+f_2dx_2\big)\wedge\big(\partial_{x_3}h_1dx_4-\partial_{x_4}h_1dx_3\big)-\big(\partial_{x_1}hdx_1+\partial_{x_2}hdx_2\big)\wedge\big(f_3dx_4-f_4dx_3\big)\\ &\quad+\left(f_3\partial_{x_3}h_1+f_4\partial_{x_4}h_1-\frac{1}{f_1+x_2}\big(h_1\partial_{x_1}h_2+\partial_{x_1}h_1(f_3^2+f_4^2+h_2)\big)\right)dx_3\wedge dx_4,\\ F_4 &= \Big[x_2h_1dx_1\wedge\big(f_4dx_3-f_3dx_4\big)+dx_2\wedge\big(\partial_{x_4}h_2dx_3-\partial_{x_3}h_2dx_4\big)\\ &\quad+\left(x_2^2h_1\partial_{x_2}(x_2^{-1}h_2)-\frac{1}{f_1+x_2}\big((\partial_{x_1}h_2)^2+x_2h_1(f_3^2+f_4^2+h_2)\big)\right)dx_3\wedge dx_4\Big]\wedge\mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we note that we necessarily have zero Romans mass. Although there are generically fewer RR fluxes turned on here than in the proceeding sections, what is present has many legs, so the PDE's following from the Bianchi identities are more involved. Ensuring that F_2 is closed imposes three PDEs:

$$\partial_{x_1}(f_2\partial_{x_i}h_1)+\partial_{x_2}(f_i\partial_{x_1}h_1)=0, \quad (4.34a)$$

$$\partial_{x_i}\big((f_1+x_2)\partial_{x_i}h_1-f_i\partial_{x_1}h_1\big)=\partial_{x_1}\left(f_i\partial_{x_i}h_1-\frac{1}{f_1+x_2}\big(h_1\partial_{x_1}h_2+\partial_{x_1}h_1(f_if_i+h_2)\big)\right), \quad (4.34b)$$

$$\partial_{x_i}\big(f_2\partial_{x_i}h_1-f_i\partial_{x_2}h_1\big)=\partial_{x_2}\left(f_i\partial_{x_i}h_1-\frac{1}{f_1+x_2}\big(h_1\partial_{x_1}h_2+\partial_{x_1}h_1(f_if_i+h_2)\big)\right), \quad (4.34c)$$

where $i = 3, 4$. The F_4 Bianchi identity further imposes

$$\partial^2_{x_4} h_2 + \partial^2_{x_3} h_2 + \partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 h_1 \partial_{x_2}(x_2^{-1} h_2)) + \partial_{x_2}\left[\frac{x_2 h_1}{f_1 + x_2}(f_3^2 + f_4^2 + x_2 f_2^2 h_1 + h_2)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{f_1 + x_2}\left[f_2 \partial_{x_1}(h_1 h_2) - (f_3^2 + f_4^2)((f_1 + x_2)\partial_{x_2} h_1 - f_2 \partial_{x_1} h_1)\right] = 0, \tag{4.35a}$$

$$\partial_{x_4}(x_2 f_4 h_1) + \partial_{x_3}(x_2 f_3 h_1) + \partial_{x_1}(x_2^2 h_1 \partial_{x_2}(x_2^{-1} h_2)) - \partial_{x_1}\left[\frac{x_2 h_1}{f_1 + x_2}(f_3^2 + f_4^2 + x_2 f_2^2 h_1 + h_2)\right]$$

$$\frac{1}{f_1 + x_2}\left[f_1 \partial_{x_1}(h_1 h_2) - x_2(f_3^2 + f_4^2)\partial_{x_1} h_1 + x_2(f_1 + x_2)(f_3 \partial_{x_3} h_1 + f_4 \partial_{x_4} h_1)\right] = 0. \tag{4.35b}$$

Clearly (4.34)–(4.35) is a rather complicated system. In fact we can actually get far more compact expressions by performing the coordinate transformation $x_1 \to x_1 - \frac{1}{x_2} e^{2C} B_0$, which we examine in appendix C. However these actually turn out to be harder to solve. Despite their complexity we do find some sub classes where the PDEs simplify dramatically, which we will now study.

4.3.1 $H_1 = 0$ Ansatz

The simplest thing we can do is set all $f_i = 0$, so the is no NS flux. This means that (4.31) and (4.34c) impose

$$\partial_{x_2}(x_2 h_1) = \partial_{x_1} h_2 = \partial_{x_1}(x_2 h_1)\partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{h_2}{x_2^2}\right) = 0\ . \tag{4.36}$$

This motivates defining

$$x_2 h_1 = \tilde{h}_1(x_1, x_3, x_4), \quad h_2 = x_2^2 \tilde{h}_2(x_2, x_3, x_4), \quad e^{2A-2\Phi} = \tilde{h}_1, \quad e^{-6A+2\Phi} = \tilde{h}_2, \tag{4.37}$$

in terms of which the Bianchi identity conditions that are not trivially solved reduce to

$$\partial^2_{x_3}\tilde{h}_1 + \partial^2_{x_4}\tilde{h}_1 + \tilde{h}_2 \partial^2_{x_1}\tilde{h}_1 = 0, \qquad \partial^2_{x_3}\tilde{h}_2 + \partial^2_{x_4}\tilde{h}_2 + \frac{\tilde{h}_1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2) = 0, \tag{4.38a}$$

$$(\partial_{x_1}\tilde{h}_1)(\partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2) = 0. \tag{4.38b}$$

The solutions have data

$$\begin{aligned} ds_6^2 &= \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{h}_1}{\tilde{h}_2}} dx_1^2 + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{h}_2}{\tilde{h}_1}}\left(dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)\right) + \sqrt{\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2}\left(dx_3^2 + dx_4^2\right), \\ F_2 &= (\partial_{x_4}\tilde{h}_1 dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}\tilde{h}_1 dx_4) \wedge dx_1 - \tilde{h}_2 \partial_{x_1}\tilde{h}_1 dx_3 \wedge dx_4, \qquad H = 0, \\ F_4 &= x_2^2\Big((\partial_{x_4}\tilde{h}_2 dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}\tilde{h}_2 dx_4) \wedge dx_2 + \tilde{h}_1 \partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_4\Big) \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

(4.38b) gives two options, which because of (4.38a) require that at least one of $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2$ is a flat space harmonic function in x_3, x_4 only. If both are, we just reproduce the "harmonic function rule" for delocalized branes [30–32]. If $\tilde{h}_1 = \tilde{h}_1(x_3, x_4)$ we have the partially localised solution of D4's ending on D6's presented in [24, Sec. 4.2], specialised to the case where the D6 world volume has an SU(2) isometry. Finally if $\tilde{h}_2 = \tilde{h}_2(x_3, x_4)$ the solutions are contained within [24, Sec. 4.2] up to performing T-dualities on the three U(1) isometries of T^3.

So in conclusion $H_1 = 0$ reproduces known intersecting brane solutions only.

4.3.2 $f_2 = 0$ Ansatz

A generalisation of section 4.3.1 that leads to tractable PDEs is to take only $f_2 = 0$. Here the supersymmetry conditions (4.31) reduces to

$$\partial_{x_1}((f_1 + x_2)h_1) = \partial_{x_1} h_2 = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_2} f_i = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_i} f_j = \partial_{x_j} f_i, \tag{4.40}$$

while (4.34a) requires either $f_3 = f_4 = 0$ or $\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} h_1 = 0$. We will now consider these two subcases in turn.

The case $\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} h_1 = 0$: ∂_{x_1} an Isometry

Reconciling $\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} h_1 = 0$ with (4.40) requires $\partial_{x_1} f_1 = \partial_{x_1} h_1 = 0$. From this it follows that

$$h_1 = \frac{\tilde{h}_1(x_3, x_4)}{f_1 + x_2}, \quad h_2 = h_2(x_2, x_3, x_4), \quad f_i = f_i(x_3, x_4), \quad df_1 = 0, \quad \partial_{x_3} f_4 = \partial_{x_4} f_3, \tag{4.41}$$

where $i = 3, 4$. We see that ∂_{x_1} is necessarily an isometry. T-dualizing it to IIB produces solutions which are conformal Calabi–Yau type (see footnote 5) in a similar way as our discussion in section 4.4.

The remaining PDE's (4.34)–(4.35) then truncate dramatically to

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)\tilde{h}_1 = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_3}(\tilde{h}_1^2 f_3) + \partial_{x_4}(\tilde{h}_1^2 f_4) = 0, \\ &\frac{f_1 + x_2}{x_2}(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_3}^2)\tilde{h}_2 + \frac{h_1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2) + \frac{2(f_3^2 + f_4^2)\tilde{h}_1}{x_2(f_1 + x_2)^3} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

where we have introduced

$$h_2 = \frac{x_2}{f_1 + x_2}\tilde{h}_2(x_2, x_3, x_4). \tag{4.43}$$

To make progress, we can now make a separation of variables sub-Ansatz:

$$\tilde{h}_2 = k(x_2)h_3(x_3, x_4) - l(x_2)h_4(x_3, x_4), \qquad \frac{(f_1 + x_2)^3}{x_2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}(c_1 k + l)) = 2\ . \tag{4.44}$$

We find

$$f_3^2 + f_4^2 = h_4, \qquad \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}k) = c_2\frac{f_1+x_2}{x_2}k,$$
$$(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)h_4 = 0, \qquad (\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)h_3 + c_2h_1(h_3 + c_1h_4) = 0. \tag{4.45}$$

We can then parametrise

$$f_3 = \cos\alpha\sqrt{h_4}, \qquad f_4 = \sin\alpha\sqrt{h_4}, \tag{4.46}$$

so that the remaining, non harmonic, PDE's to solve are

$$(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)h_3 + c_2h_1(h_3 + c_1h_4) = 0, \tag{4.47a}$$
$$\partial_{x_4}(\cos\alpha\sqrt{h_4}) = \partial_{x_3}(\sin\alpha\sqrt{h_4}), \qquad \partial_{x_3}(\cos\alpha h_1^2\sqrt{h_4}) = -\partial_{x_4}(\sin\alpha h_1^2\sqrt{h_4})\ . \tag{4.47b}$$

These are hard to make progress with in general, but can be solved. For instance if we change to polar coordinates as $x_3 = r\cos\theta$, $x_4 = r\sin\theta$, then (4.47b) are solved by

$$\alpha = \theta, \qquad h_4 = 1, \qquad \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \tag{4.48}$$

which leaves us with (4.47a) to solve, for example by setting $c_2 = 0$ so that h_3 can be any harmonic function.

The case $f_3 = f_4 = 0$: ∂_{x_1} not an Isometry

For $f_3 = f_4 = 0$ we define

$$(f_1 + x_2)h_1 = \tilde{h}_1(x_1, x_3, x_4), \qquad h_2 = (f_1 + x_2)^2\tilde{h}_2(x_2, x_3, x_4), \tag{4.49}$$

and then the Bianchi identities impose

$$\partial_{x_1}\tilde{h}_1\partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2 = 0, \qquad (\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)\tilde{h}_1 + \tilde{h}_2\partial_{x_1}^2\tilde{h}_1 = 0, \tag{4.50a}$$
$$(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)\tilde{h}_2 + \frac{x_2}{(f_1+x_2)^3}\tilde{h}_1\partial_{x_2}\Big((f_1+x_2)^2\partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2\Big) = 0. \tag{4.50b}$$

If $\partial_{x_1}\tilde{h}_1 = 0$, once again ∂_{x_1} is an isometry, which gives a subclass of the solutions we already considered. If on the other hand $\partial_{x_2}\tilde{h}_2 = 0$, we are left with the PDEs

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)\tilde{h}_1 + \tilde{h}_2\partial_{x_1}^2\tilde{h}_1 = 0\ ,\\ (\partial_{x_3}^2 + \partial_{x_4}^2)\tilde{h}_2 = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

These look formally like [24] again, which we found in (4.39). We can see, however, that there are more fluxes than in (4.39); for example, in the present case $H \neq 0$.

4.4 The $a_1 = 0$, $b \neq 0$ case

We now study the case where only $a_1 = 0$, which means that a_2, b are function of the coordinates on M_4 such that

$$a_2^2 + b^2 = 1. \tag{4.52}$$

We will assume $b \neq 0$, since $b = 0$ was considered in section 4.3. We will see on the other hand that the case of section 4.2 is recovered from the present case as a limit. Finally, we will see that the solutions of this section are in fact related by T-duality to conformal Calabi–Yau-type solutions [4–7].

With some care one can establish that the supersymmetry conditions of appendix B follow from

$$d(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}b) + 2e^{2A+C-\Phi}bv_2 = 0, , \tag{4.53a}$$

$$d\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}e^{3A/2-\Phi/2}\mathrm{Re}k_1\right) = 0, \qquad d\left(\frac{1}{b}e^{-A}(\mathrm{Im}k_2 + a_2 B_0 v_2)\right) = 0, \tag{4.53b}$$

$$B_2 = (a_2 \mathrm{Im}k_2 + B_0 v_2) \wedge \mathrm{Re}k_1, \qquad d\left(e^{A-\Phi}\frac{a_2^2}{b}\right) = 0, \tag{4.53c}$$

$$d(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}B_0 \mathrm{Re}k_2) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}k_2) = 0, \tag{4.53d}$$

$$d\left(\frac{1}{b}e^{2A-\Phi}B_0 v_2 \wedge \mathrm{Re}k_2 \wedge \mathrm{Re}k_1\right) = 0, \qquad d\left(\frac{1}{b}e^{A}\mathrm{Re}k_2\right) = 0, , \tag{4.53e}$$

where the complex 1-forms k_i are the following linear combinations of the vielbein

$$k_1 = bv_1 + aw, \qquad k_2 = -\bar{a}v_1 + bw\ . \tag{4.54}$$

We use the usual trick of introducing local coordinates to solve (4.53), this time defining

$$\mathrm{Re}k_1 = \sqrt{b}e^{-3A/2+\Phi/2}dx_1, \qquad v_2 = -e^{-3A-C+\Phi}x_2 dx_2, \qquad \mathrm{Re}k_2 = -be^{-A}dx_3, \tag{4.55}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}k_2 = -be^{A}(dx_4 + \mathcal{A}), \qquad x_2 = e^{2A+2C-\Phi}b, \qquad \mathcal{A} = -\frac{a_2}{2b^{3/2}\sqrt{x_2}}B_0 e^{-5A/2+\Phi/2}dx_2,$$

which implies the vielbein on M_4 without loss of generality. The remaining conditions (4.53c)–(4.53e) are then solved uniquely by the surprisingly simple conditions

$$e^{A-\Phi} = \frac{f(x_3)}{b}, \qquad e^{2C}B_0 = g(x_3), \qquad \partial_{x_4}A = 0, \qquad b^2 = \frac{f}{c_0 + f}, \tag{4.56}$$

where c_0 is a integration constant and we reproduce (4.16) when $c_0 = 0$. It follows that ∂_{x_4} is an isometry like in section 4.2, a_2, b depend on x_3 only, and the internal metric is

$$ds_6^2 = e^{2A}b^2\left(dx_4 - \frac{a_2 g\sqrt{f}}{bx_2^2}dx_2\right)^2 + \frac{e^{-2A}}{f}\left(b^2dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + f dx_3^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)\right). \tag{4.57}$$

The higher RR fluxes can be derived from (B.9) in the same fashion as before and lead to

$$B = g\,\mathcal{C}_2 + \frac{a_2 b}{\sqrt{f}} dx_1 \wedge \left(dx_4 - \frac{a_2 g \sqrt{f}}{b x_2^2} \right), \qquad \mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{x_2^2} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \tag{4.58}$$

$$F_0 = \partial_{x_3} f, \qquad F_2 = B F_0 - \partial_{x_3}(fg)\mathcal{C}_2, \qquad F_4 = B \wedge F_2 + \frac{1}{2} B \wedge B F_0 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_3}(f g^2)$$

$$+ x_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{b^2} \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) dx_2 \wedge dx_3 - \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A}) dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + \partial_{x_3}(f^{-1} e^{-4A}) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \right) \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ .$$

Since ∂_{x_4} is an isometry, we can wonder what happens if we T-dualize under it. The structure of the T-dual metric one obtains in IIB suggests now a D3–type solution, since the Mink_4 metric is multiplied by e^{2A} and the internal metric has an overall e^{-2A}. One can see in fact that the resulting solutions are contained in a famous class [4–7]. This is most easily seen by looking at the pure spinors. From (4.55), (4.54) we see dx_4 is contained inside w, which in turn is in E_3 of (3.12). So when we T-dualize the pure spinors, E_3 in (3.11) gets replaced by $e^{1/2 E_3 \wedge \bar{E}_3}$ and viceversa.[4] We end up with the pure spinors associated to an SU(3) structure. Further analysis reveals they are of the conformal Calabi–Yau type.[5] There are however some interesting points about this class, which we will make in section 5.1.

Imposing the Bianchi identities for (4.58) leads to

$$\partial_{x_3}^2 f = 0, \qquad \partial_{x_3}^2 (fg) = 0, \tag{4.59}$$

in common with the conditions of section 4.2. The PDE is slightly more general than (4.21):

$$\frac{1}{b^2}\partial_{x_1}^2(e^{-4A}) + \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})) + \partial_{x_3}^2(f^{-1}e^{-4A}) + \frac{1}{x_2^4}\partial_{x_3}^2(f g^2) = 0 \ . \tag{4.60}$$

This similarity is also reflected in the fact that (4.57) is a generalisation of (4.17).

Indeed the $M_6 = S^2 \times S^1 \times M_3$ class of section 4.2 are a special case of the class in this section; we can simply set $c_0 = 0$ so that, from (4.56) and (4.52), $b = 1$ and $a_2 = 0$. Conversely, given a solution with $c_0 = 0$, (4.56) gives a way to generate a new family of solutions with $c_0 \neq 0$. We will now give two examples of this.

[4] See [11, Sec. 6] and [33] for more details about T-duality and pure spinors.

[5] If $\Phi_+ = i e^{iJ}$, $\Phi_- = \Omega$, the IIB version of the pure spinor equations (3.3) results in $d\tilde{J} = 0 = d\Omega$, where $\tilde{J} = e^{2A-\phi} J$; then $\tilde{J}$ and Ω define a Kähler structure on M_6. A solution exists because of Yau's theorem; this is a slight generalization of a Calabi–Yau, which when the dilaton is constant is in fact a conformal Calabi–Yau. The flux $G \equiv f_3 + i e^{-\phi} H$ can be shown to be a primitive $(2,1)$-form; the axiodilaton $\tau = C_0 + i e^{-\phi}$ is holomorphic. See [34, Sec. 4.3.1] for more details about how this class derives from the pure spinor equations.

4.4.1 $\partial^2_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) = 0$ Ansatz

If $\partial^2_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) = 0$ then (4.60) is trivially independent of c_0; but, as we encountered in section 4.2 for (4.21), the PDE is still hard to solve unless either $g = 0$ or $F_0 = 0$. We focus on the former here, as the latter does not require the additional restriction we are now imposing on e^{-4A}. These solutions are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds_6^2 &= \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{fh}}dx_4^2 + \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{f}}\Big(b^2dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + fdx_3^2 + x_2^2ds^2(S^2)\Big), \qquad b^2 = \frac{f}{c_0+f},\\
e^{-4A} &= fh, \qquad e^{A-\Phi} = \frac{f}{b}, \qquad B = \frac{a_2 b}{\sqrt{f}}dx_1\wedge dx_4, \qquad F_0 = \partial_{x_3}f, \qquad F_2 = F_0 B,\\
F_4 &= x_2^2\Big(\frac{f}{b^2}\partial_{x_1}h dx_2\wedge dx_3 - f\partial_{x_2}h dx_1\wedge dx_3 + \partial_{x_3}h dx_1\wedge dx_2\Big),\\
&\partial^2_{x_1}h = 0, \qquad \frac{f}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}h) + \partial^2_{x_3}h = 0 \qquad f = c_1 + F_0 x_3.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.61}
$$

We see that for $c_0 = 0$ we have the behaviour of D8 branes and D4s smeared on x_1. By turning on c_0 we generate additional F_2 and H_3 flux. It would be interesting to see if this is somehow related to a known solution-generating technique such as the continuous version of U-duality, but this is currently not clear.

4.4.2 $F_0 = 0$ Ansatz

If $F_0 = 0$, we can take $f = 1$ without loss of generality; b is just a constant, which we can remove from (4.60) by rescaling $x_1 \to b^{-1}x_1$. This results in solutions of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds_6^2 &= e^{2A}b^2\Big(dx_4 - \frac{a_2 c x_3}{b x_2^2}dx_2\Big)^2 + e^{-2A}\Big(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)\Big),\\
e^{-4A} &= b^4 e^{-4\Phi} = \frac{x_2^2 h - c^2}{x_2^2}, \qquad B = cx_3\mathcal{C}_2 + a_2 dx_1\wedge\Big(dx_4 - c\frac{a_2 x_3}{b x_2^2}\Big), \qquad F_2 = -c\mathcal{C}_2,\\
F_4 &= \Big[\frac{x_2^2}{2b}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_{x_k}(e^{-4A})dx_i\wedge dx_j + \frac{a_2 c}{b x_2^2}\big(a_2 c x_3 dx_1\wedge dx_2 - b x_2^2 dx_1\wedge dx_4\big)\Big]\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2),\\
&\partial^2_{x_3}h + f\Big(\frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}h) + \partial^2_{x_1}h\Big) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{b x_2^2}dx_1\wedge dx_2 + \mathrm{Vol}(S^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.62}
$$

where $h = h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, and we have fixed $g = cx_3$. For $c_0 = 0$ and $c \neq 0$ we have F_2, F_4 and H_3 fluxes turned on. The effect of turning on c_0 is to introduce additional H_3 and F_4 flux, and to fibre the x_4 direction over the rest of the manifold; this suggests the presence of Kaluza–Klein monopoles.

4.5 Generic case

Before moving on to examples, we will study the "generic case" where a_1, a_2, b are all non-zero; recall that they should be such that

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b^2 = 1 \ . \tag{4.63}$$

The alert reader may ask why we have not discussed the $b = 0$ and $a_2 = 0$ cases separately. In fact, both of these can be obtained as limits of the generic case we study in this section, once we assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. (The $a_1 = 0$ case was covered previously in section 4.4.) This is a generalization of the statement we made at the beginning of section 4.1, namely that the $b = a_2 = 0$ case can be obtained as a limit of the generic case treated in this section.

Inserting the definitions of (3.13) into (B.5)–(B.7) for the final time we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for supersymmetry

$$d\left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}e^{-2A}\right) = d\left(\frac{b}{a_1^2}e^{-5A+\Phi}\right) = 0, \tag{4.64a}$$

$$d\left(\frac{1}{a_1}e^{-A}k_1\right) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}k_2) = 0, \qquad d(e^{4A+C-\Phi}a_1) + e^{4A-\Phi}a_1 v_2 = 0, \tag{4.64b}$$

$$d\left(\frac{1}{a_1^2}e^{-4A+\Phi}(\mathrm{Re}k_2 - a_1 B_0 v_2)\right) = 0, \qquad d(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}(B_0\mathrm{Re}k_2 + a_1 v_2)) = 0, , \tag{4.64c}$$

$$B_2 = \frac{b}{a_1}\big(\mathrm{Im}k_1 \wedge \mathrm{Im}k_2 - \mathrm{Re}k_1 \wedge \mathrm{Re}k_2\big) - \frac{a_2}{a_1}\big(\mathrm{Re}k_1 \wedge \mathrm{Im}k_1 + \mathrm{Re}k_2 \wedge \mathrm{Im}k_2\big), \tag{4.64d}$$

where the 1-forms k_i where introduced in (4.54). We notice that (4.64a) just defines a_2, b in terms of a_1 as

$$a_2 = c_0 e^{2A} a_1, \qquad b = e^{5A-\Phi}\tilde{c}_0 a_1^2, \tag{4.65}$$

where c_0, $\tilde{c}_0$ are integration constants. We can solve (4.64b) without loss of generality by introducing local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_4)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= -\frac{1}{a_1}e^{-4A+\Phi}dx_2, \qquad \mathrm{Re}k_2 = e^{-2A+\Phi}dx_1, \\ x_2 &= e^{4A+C-\Phi}a_2, \qquad k_1 = e^A a_1(dx_3 + i dx_4), \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

from which the vielbein on M_4 follows using (4.54). Then, as (4.64d) just defines the S^2 orthogonal part of the NS 2-form, we are left with only (4.64c) to solve. This leads

to the PDEs

$$\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{e^{-6A+2\Phi}}{a_1^2}\right)-\partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{e^{-8A+2\Phi}B_0}{a_1^2}\right)=0, \tag{4.67a}$$

$$\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{e^{-8A+2\Phi}B_0x_2^2}{a_1^2}\right)+\partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{e^{-10A+2\Phi}x_2^2}{a_1^2}\right)=0, \tag{4.67b}$$

and informs us that ∂_{x_3} and ∂_{x_4} define two U(1) isometries. Notice that for $a_1=1$ these reproduce the PDEs of (4.4a)–(4.4b) in section 4.1. However now the T^2 they form is fibred over the rest, and has a position-dependent modular parameter:

$$\begin{aligned}ds_6^2&=e^{2A}ds^2(\tilde{T}^2)+\frac{e^{-4A+2\Phi}}{a_1^2}\left(\frac{a_1^2}{a_1^2+b^2}dx_1^2+e^{-4A}\left(dx_2^2+x_2^2ds^2(S^2)\right)\right),\\ ds^2(\tilde{T}^2)&=a_1^2dx_3^2+(a_1^2+b^2)\left(dx_4-\frac{a_2be^{-3A+\Phi}}{a_1(a_1^2+b^2)}dx_1\right)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

We extract the fluxes from (B.9), which, after some significant massaging, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}B&=\frac{e^{-8A+2\Phi}}{a_1^2}x_2^2B_0\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)-e^{2A}a_1dx_3\wedge\left(a_2dx_4+\frac{b}{a_1}e^{-3A+\Phi}dx_1\right),\quad F_0=2e^{-2\Phi}\frac{1}{a_1^2}\partial_{x_1}(e^{2A}a_1),\\ F_2&=BF_0+d\left(e^{A-\Phi}b\right)\wedge dx_3-x_2^2\left(\partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{a_1^2+b^2}{a_1^2}e^{-4A}\right)-e^{-2A}B_0\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{a_1^2+b^2}{a_1^2}e^{-4A}\right)\right),\\ F_4&=B\wedge F_2-\frac{1}{2}B\wedge BF_0-d\left(e^{-7A+\Phi}x_2^2B_0\frac{b}{a_1^2}\right)\wedge dx_3\wedge\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

Although the fluxes are rather complicated they actually only give rise to a single PDE when we impose their Bianchi identities, namely

$$\partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{a_1^2+b^2}{a_1^2}e^{-4A}\right)-e^{-2A}B_0\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{a_1^2+b^2}{a_1^2}e^{-4A}\right)=-\frac{c_1}{x_2^2}, \tag{4.70}$$

for some constant c_1. So we are left with three PDEs to solve: (4.67) and (4.70), much like in section 4.1 we had (4.4) and (4.8). It will once more turn out that stipulating whether $F_0=0$ or not will reduce these three to one. The similarity actually goes much further: we can show that any solution of section 4.1 implies a solution of the generic class.

4.5.1 $F_0=0$

For $F_0=0$ we need a_1e^{2A} to be a function of x_2 only which implies through (4.63) that the same is true of a_2 and $a_1^2+b^2$. The Bianchi identity (4.70) is then solved by

$$e^{-4A}=\left(\frac{a_1^2}{a_1^2+b^2}\right)e^{-4A_0},\qquad e^{-4A_0}=c_2+\frac{c_1}{x_2}. \tag{4.71}$$

We can then take (4.67a) as an integrability condition implying the existence of a function $h(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$a_1 e^{2\Phi} = (a_1^2 + b^2)^{3/2} e^{6A_0} \partial_{x_1} h, \qquad a_1 B_0 = e^{2A_0} \sqrt{a_1^2 + b^2} \frac{\partial_{x_2} h}{\partial_{x_1} h}. \tag{4.72}$$

Equipped with these definitions (4.65) becomes

$$a_1^2 + b^2 = \frac{1}{1 + c_0^2 e^{4A_0}}, \qquad a_2 = \frac{c_0 e^{2A_0}}{\sqrt{1 + c_0^2 e^{4A_0}}}, \qquad b = \frac{\tilde{c}_0 e^{2A_0}}{\sqrt{\partial_{x_1} h}\sqrt{1 + c_0^2 e^{4A_0}}}, \tag{4.73}$$

which satisfy (4.63), while (4.67a) reduces to

$$e^{4A_0} \partial_{x_1}^2 h + \partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} h) = 0, \tag{4.74}$$

which is identical to (4.11) with $A \to A_0$. So we see that any massless solution of section 4.2 implies a massless solution with c_0 and/or $\tilde{c}_0$ turned on.

4.5.2 $F_0 \neq 0$

When $F_0 \neq 0$ we can define the dilaton in terms of the Romans mass and take as (4.70) as a definition of B_0 so that

$$e^{2\Phi} = \frac{2}{a_1^2 F_0} \partial_{x_1}(a_1 e^{2A}), \qquad B_0 = e^{2A} \frac{c_1 + x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{1}{a_1^2} e^{-4A}\right)}{x_2^2 \partial_{x_1}(\frac{1}{a_1^2} e^{-8A})}. \tag{4.75}$$

We use these to substitute for B_0 and Φ in favour of A in (4.67a)–(4.67b) which reduce to a single PDE

$$\frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}\left(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}\left(\frac{a_1^2}{a_1^2 + b^2} e^{-4A}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_1}\left(\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{a_1^4}{(a_1^2 + b^2)^2} e^{-8A}\right)\right) = 0. \tag{4.76}$$

Just like the $F_0 = 0$ case we can then define

$$e^{-4A} = \frac{a_1^2}{a_1^2 + b^2} e^{-4A_0}, \tag{4.77}$$

where we now have $A_0(x_1, x_2)$ and see that (4.76) just reduces to (4.13) with $A \to A_0$. In other words one can take any massive solution of section 4.2 and it will imply a massive solution in this more general context.



Figure 1: A summary of the classification in this section. The interior of the triangle represents the generic case where none of the parameters vanish, and the sides and vertices represent the various particular cases; recall $a_1 \equiv \mathrm{Re}a$, $a_2 \equiv \mathrm{Im}a$. The shading of the sides is meant to suggest which limits reproduce the cases represented by the vertices. For example, if one takes the equations for the $a_1 = 0$ case (lower side) and takes the $a_2 \to 0$ limit, one recovers the equations of the $a = 0$ case, while the same is not true if one takes the $b \to 0$ limit.

4.6 Summary of this section

We summarize the classification we obtained in this section in figure 1. Recall $a_1 \equiv \mathrm{Re}a$, $a_2 \equiv \mathrm{Im}a$.

Strictly speaking one only needs to distinguish three cases.

- Generic case: $a_1 \neq 0$ (section 4.5). In this case one needs to solve the PDEs (4.67) and (4.70). In the case with and without F_0, these reduce respectively to either (4.74) or (4.76). The solutions can be viewed as a certain decoration by extra fluxes of the particular case $a_2 = b = 0$ (upper vertex in figure 1, section 4.1), where $M_6 = T^2 \times S^2 \times M_2$ and which can *roughly* be interpreted as systems of NS5s, D6s and D8s.

- $a_1 = 0$, $b \neq 0$ (section 4.4; lower side in figure 1). Here one needs to solve (4.60). There is in this class always an abelian isometry, T-dualizing along which produces a conformal Calabi–Yau-type solution [4–7] (conformal Calabi–Yau's with $(2,1)$ primitive flux). Again one can view the solutions as a decoration by extra fluxes

of the particular case $a = 0$ (lower-left vertex in figure 1, section 4.2), where $M_6 = S^1 \times S^2 \times M_3$.

- $a_1 = b = 0$ (section 4.3). In this case the system is the rather more complicated (4.34)–(4.35), although we did manage to simplify it considerably and solve it with several Ansätze. Among these, we found some known D4–D6 systems, and some generalizations thereof. This case is in a sense the most interesting one.

We will see now some examples.

5 Examples

In section 4 we classified solutions to our minimal class, as defined in section 2. The classification was organized in several cases; in each of these the requirement of preserved supersymmetry was reduced to a system of PDEs. In this section, we will look at some particular solutions of these systems. In section 5.1 we will look at cases where M_6 is compact, namely at Minkowski compactifications. In later subsections we will do the exercise of applying the systems to AdS solutions. In particular, we will recover the AdS_7 and AdS_5 solutions of [20,22] and [23], and we will write AdS_6 solutions in terms of a Toda-like equation which presumably reproduces the solutions in [35,36]. The AdS_5 procedure in particular might be useful in the future, to point the way to massive IIA generalizations of [23].

5.1 Compact M_6

We will now try to find examples where M_6 is compact, in some of the classes considered in 4. The classification there produced a vast array of possible systems, and our analysis here should be regarded as preliminary.

[$b = a_2 = 0$.] Let us start from the $b = a_2 = 0$ case of section 4.1. Specifically, we will look at the $F_0 = 0$ case, as in section 4.1.1. We reduced there the problem to the single PDE (4.11). We collect the data of the solution here:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{2A} ds^2_{\text{Mink}_4 \times T^2} + \partial_{x_1} h \left(e^{2A} dx_1^2 + e^{-2A} (dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)) \right) , \\ e^{2\Phi} &= e^{6A} \partial_{x_1} h , \qquad B = x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} h \text{Vol}(S^2) , \qquad F_2 = c_1 \text{Vol}(S^2) . \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

To find solutions, we can impose a separation of variables Ansatz $h = f(x_1)g(x_2)$. Then (4.11) imposes

$$\partial_{x_1}^2 f + cf = 0 , \qquad \partial_{x_2}^2 (x_2 g) = c(c_1 + c_2 x_2) g, \tag{5.2}$$

which have closed form solutions. For $c=0$ we have compact solutions for $h = Cx_1\frac{a-x_2}{x_2}$, $e^{4A} = x_2/c_1$. So (5.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{c_1}}ds^2_{\mathrm{Mink}_4\times T^2} + C\left(\frac{a}{x_2}-1\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{x_2}{c_1}}dx_1^2 + \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{x_2}}(dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2))\right) \ , \\ e^{2\Phi} &= \frac{C}{c_1^{3/2}}\sqrt{x_2}(a-x_2) \ , \qquad H = aC dx_1\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ , \qquad F_2 = c_1 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

If the factor $\left(\frac{a}{x_2}-1\right)$ were $\left(1+\frac{a}{x_2}\right)$, this would represent a near-horizon D6 with an NS5 smeared along the x_1 direction. With $\left(1-\frac{a}{x_2}\right)$, the NS5 would be replaced by a more peculiar object, an ONS5-plane.[6] As it is, (5.3) is a compact solution which behaves close to $x_2=0$ as a D6/NS5, and close to $x_2=a$ as a D6/ONS5, both NS objects being smeared along x_1. The solution is however highly curved everywhere: playing with the parameters (and taking flux quantization into account) one cannot make a large. Solutions of this type, obtained by reversing signs in otherwise well-known solutions with orientifold planes, are easy to obtain, and we could exhibit several other such examples for other classes in section 4. We will not comment on such examples further. Notice however that the present example becomes much less trivial after one applies to it the solution-generating technique of section 4.5.

For $c>0$, (5.2) gives more interesting results. It can again be solved explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\cos(\sqrt{c}x_1) \ , \\ g &= e^{-\sqrt{cc_2}x}\left(\alpha_1 F_1\left(1+\frac{c_1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{c}{c_2}},2,2\sqrt{cc_2}x\right) + \beta U\left(1+\frac{c_1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{c}{c_2}},2,2\sqrt{cc_2}x\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

with α and β two real parameters. For example, let us assume $c_2>1$, $c_1<0$, so that $e^{-4A} = c_2 + \frac{c_1}{x_2}$ from (4.9) corresponds to an O6 at $x_2 = x_2^- = -\frac{c_1}{c_2}$. Now $\partial_{x_1}h$ in (5.1) is $\sqrt{c}\sin(\sqrt{c}x_1)g(x_2)$. The fact that $\sin(\sqrt{c}x_1)$ has a simple zero at $x_1=0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{c}}$ signals the presence at those loci of NS5s smeared along x_2 and the S^2. Moreover, by adjusting the β/α, one can arrange for g to have a simple zero at some finite value $x_2 = x_2^+$. This signals the presence there of an ONS5. Flux quantization fixes c_1 and α. It appears to be possible to achieve weak curvature and weak coupling by adjusting the remaining parameters. The presence of so many sources, one of which a bit exotic, should make one cautious. But this is only one possible example of this type; clearly it is promising to explore such solutions further.

[6] This is an NS analogue of an O-plane, defined at the worldsheet level by an inversion of four coordinates times the left fermionic number $(-)^{F_L}$ (rather than Ω as for usual O-planes). It can also be thought of as the S-dual of an O5.

[$a = 0$.] As we have stressed earlier, this subclass is T-dual to the well-known conformal Calabi–Yau-type solutions in [4–7] (conformal Calabi–Yau's with $(2,1)$ primitive flux).

However, even in this class we find something interesting. Let us consider (4.26): as we observed there, the metric is formally of the D4-brane type. If we choose the particularly simple harmonic function $h = 4(a - x_2)$ and the constant $c = a \sin\theta_0$, with $a > 0$, $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$, the function $\tilde{H}$ in (4.26) is

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{(a_+ - x_2)(x_2 - a_-)}{x_2^2} \ , \qquad a_\pm = \frac{a}{2}(1 \pm \cos\theta_0) \ . \tag{5.5}$$

The coordinate x_2 can be taken to belong to the range (a_-, a_+); at both extrema, the metric's behavior is that of an O4 smeared along directions x_1 and x_3. The fluxes read

$$\begin{aligned} H &= aL^2 \sin\theta_0 dx_3 \wedge \mathcal{C}_2 \ , \qquad F_2 = -\frac{aL^2}{c_1^{3/2}} \sin\theta_0 \mathcal{C}_2, \\ F_4 &= \frac{4aL^4}{c_1^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2x_2}\sin^2\theta_0\right) dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

As remarked earlier, if we T-dualize this example along x_4 we obtain a D3-type solution; technically, the internal space is a conformal Calabi–Yau. However, this solution should not be interpreted, as is usually done for such solutions, as the result of backreaction of O3-planes and D3-branes on a preexisting Calabi–Yau. The internal space M_6 looks rather like a $T^3 \times S^3$ with two O3-planes at the two poles of the S^3, smeared along the T^3. The reason this falls in the conformal Calabi–Yau class is the accident that S^3 is itself conformally flat. If one is (rightly) not happy about the fact that the O3-planes are smeared, one can T-dualize instead along the directions x_1, x_3, to obtain a IIA solution again with $M_6 = T^3 \times S^3$, but with O6-planes extended along the T^3 and with two O6-planes at the poles of the S^3. Moreover, we have studied flux quantization and we have found no obstruction to making the solution weakly curved and weakly coupled (away from the two O6-planes), although we refrain from giving the results here.

5.2 AdS_7

We will now show how to recover the AdS_7 solutions of [20, 22] as particular examples of the system in section 4.1.2. As we explained there (see footnote 3), the relevant equation (4.13) is in fact the one derived in [25, 26]. A relation between those papers and the AdS_7 solutions was conjectured already in [20], but never realized until now.

We will use the customary trick of viewing AdS_7 solutions as Mink_6 solutions (mentioned in (2.3) for AdS_5). Thus in (4.5) we replace T^2 by $\mathbb{R}^2$, and we take $e^A = e^{\rho + A_7}$.

We then try to rewrite the metric for the remaining four directions as

$$ds_4^2 = e^{2A_7} d\rho^2 + ds_3^2 \ . \tag{5.7}$$

In order to do so, we define the following change of variables:

$$x_1 = f(z)e^{2r}, \qquad x_2 = g(z)e^{4r} \ . \tag{5.8}$$

Imposing that (4.5) does indeed look like (5.7) gives two conditions: one from the dr^2 coefficient, one from setting to zero the coefficient of $drdz$. This results in

$$\partial_z f = \frac{16 g^2 \partial_z(e^{-4A_7})}{8f - e^{4A_7} F_0} \ , \qquad \partial_z g = -e^{4A_7} \frac{8 f g \partial_z(e^{-4A_7})}{8f - e^{4A_7} F_0} \ . \tag{5.9}$$

With these definitions, it turns out that eq (4.13) is solved automatically. We can then use reparametrisation invariance to choose

$$g = e^{4A_7} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{3c_1 F_0^2}{16} - F_0 f\right)} \ , \tag{5.10}$$

which reduces (5.9) to a single ODE:

$$\partial_z(e^{4A_7}) = \frac{8 \partial_z f (F_0 e^{4A_7} - 8f)}{F_0(3c_1 F_0 - 16 f)} \ . \tag{5.11}$$

This is solved by

$$e^{4A_7} = c_1 + \frac{8f}{3F_0} + \frac{4\tilde{c}_2}{F_0 \sqrt{16 f - 3 c_1 F_0}} \ . \tag{5.12}$$

where $\tilde{c}_2$ is an integration constant. Since e^{4A_7} is actually just a function of f, we can choose f such that expressions simplify. Taking

$$f = \frac{3F_0}{8}\left(\frac{c_1}{2} + z^2\right), \tag{5.13}$$

and redefining $\tilde{c}_2$ in terms of a new constant c_2 we get

$$e^{4A_7} = \frac{2z^3 + 3c_1 z + 2c_2}{2z} \ , \tag{5.14}$$

which is proportional to $\frac{\alpha}{\ddot{\alpha}}$, for α a cubic function. This is the expression for e^{4A_7} one can find in [37, Eq. (2.27)]; $\alpha = \sqrt{\beta}$ can be found in (2.26) there and is indeed a cubic function. So our z here is linearly related to the z there. One can also check that the local expression for the dilaton, metric and fluxes that we obtain from section 4.1.2 reproduce those in [37, Eq. (2.27)–(2.29)].

5.3 AdS_6

We will now use a similar logic to the problem of AdS_6 solutions in IIB.[7] These have been treated in [28], where the problem was reduced to two hard PDEs for the warping and dilaton (which were later confirmed in [40]). Recently, the general solution was found in [35, 36] by reanalyzing the problem in a clever coordinate system.

Since we are actually interested in IIB solutions, our Ansatz will correspond to a formal T-dual of such a solution along one of the spacetime directions:

$$ds^2 = 4e^{2A_6}\left(e^{2\rho}dx_{1,3}^2 + d\rho^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\rho-2A_6}dx_4^2 + ds^2(M_4), \quad e^{\Phi} = e^{\Phi_{\rm IIB}-\rho-A_6} \ ; \quad (5.15)$$

Thus in particular $e^{2A} = 4e^{2A_6+2\rho}$, where the 4 is inserted for convenience as it simplifies later expressions. M_4 is spanned by S^2 and two other coordinates. x_4 is the direction along which T-duality will produce an AdS_6 solution in IIB. We will try to rewrite the metric of section 4.3 in this form the so that it reduces to (5.15). Similarly to (5.8), we will change coordinates:

$$x_1 = e^{-3\rho}f(r,y) \ , \qquad x_2 = \frac{4}{9}e^{3\rho}y \ , \qquad x_3 = 4re^{\rho-\frac{1}{3}\Delta(r,y)} \ . \quad (5.16)$$

We correspondingly write $H_1 = dB_0$ (recall (3.5b)) as

$$e^{2C}H_1 = h_1(r,y)dr + h_2(r,y)dy \ . \quad (5.17)$$

Imposing that the metric has no $d\rho$ cross terms and that the coefficient of $d\rho^2$ is correct leads to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\Phi_{\rm IIB}} &= 6\frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+4\lambda}+e^{12\lambda}f^2}{e^{8\lambda}-y^2}, \\ h_1 &= \frac{4y}{9f}\left(r(3-r\partial_r\Delta)e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta-8\lambda} - f\partial_r f\right) \ , \qquad h_2 = \frac{4y}{9}(f\partial_y\Delta - \partial_y f) \ , \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where to simplify things we have made the choice

$$e^{4A_6} = \frac{1}{6}e^{4\lambda+\Phi_{\rm IIB}} \ . \quad (5.19)$$

Diffeomorphism invaiance now gives us the freedom to choose Δ such that the metric also has no $drdy$ cross term, which actually defines the warp factor in terms of Δ as

$$e^{-8\lambda} = \frac{\partial_y\Delta}{y(1+y\partial_y\Delta)}. \quad (5.20)$$

[7] There is also a solution in IIA [38], found to be unique in [39]. This can be easily reproduced as a particular case of the system in section 4.2.2; we will not present the details here.

This leaves us with two functions, f and Δ which the physical fields are defined in terms of, but we are yet to impose the supersymmetry constraints (4.28c), these lead to

$$f = -e^{\frac{1}{3}\Delta}\frac{3 - r\partial_r\Delta}{(1 + y\partial_y\Delta)} \ , \qquad \partial_r^2(e^{\frac{1}{3}\Delta}) = \frac{1}{3}\partial_y^2 e^{-\Delta} \ , \tag{5.21}$$

from which it follows that the NS 3-form is closed and that the Bianchi identities of the RR sector are all satisfied. The second condition is reminiscent of (but not the same as) a Toda equation, such as the one we will review in section 5.4.[8] It is much simpler than the PDEs in [28] ; it should be solved by the metrics in [35, 36]. T-dualizing to IIB, the metric reads

$$ds^2 = 4e^{2A_6}\left(ds^2_{\text{AdS}_6} + \frac{y\partial_y\Delta}{9(1 + y\partial_y\Delta)}ds^2(S^2) + \frac{\partial_y\Delta}{9y}\left(dy^2 + e^{-\frac{4}{3}\Delta}dr^2\right)\right) \tag{5.22}$$

while the fluxes on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 = &\left(\frac{27}{4y^2}e^{-2/3\Delta+8\lambda-2\Phi}fh_2 - \frac{2}{3}e^{-2/3\Delta-\lambda-7/4\Phi}\partial_y(ye^{-3\lambda+3/4\Phi})\right)dr \\ &- \left(\frac{27}{4y^2}e^{2/3\Delta+8\lambda-2\Phi}fh_2 - \frac{2}{3}e^{2/3\Delta-\lambda-7/4\Phi}\partial_r(ye^{-3\lambda+3/4\Phi})\right)dy, \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

$$F_3 = \frac{y}{54}e^{-2/3\Delta-4\lambda-\Phi}\left((45h_2 + 32y\partial_y f + 96yf\partial_y\lambda)dr + (45h_1 + 32y\partial_r f + 96yf\partial_r\lambda)e^{4/3\Delta}dy\right) \wedge \text{Vol}(S^2).$$

A particularly simple solution is given by

$$e^{\Delta} = \frac{c_1 r^3}{c_2 - y} \tag{5.24}$$

which gives rise to the Hopf fiber T-dual of the unique AdS_6 solution in IIA.

5.4 AdS_5

We will now also show how to recover in IIA the AdS_5 solutions obtained in M-theory by [23]. This will be a useful step to look for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ solutions in massive IIA, which however we leave to future work.

[8] Strictly speaking, the supersymmetry conditions impose that $\partial_y \log(f) = \partial_y \log\left(-e^{\frac{1}{3}\Delta}\frac{3-r\partial_r\Delta}{(1+y\partial_y\Delta)}\right)$ which means we can multiply our definition of f by any function $k(r)$ and still satisfy supersymmetry and the Bianchi identities. This is a manifestation of diffeomorphism invariance, so we can set k to whatever we choose and still describe the same physical system. We encounter the same situation when we impose supersymmetry for the AdS_5 solutions in section 5.4: there only one choice of this arbitrary function leads to the well known Toda result.

The strategy is similar as the one we used in the previous subsections, and we will be brief. Once again we use the trick (2.3); however, we actually define $e^{2A} = 4e^{2\rho+2A_5}$, to match the conventions in [23]. These solutions have an enhanced SU(2)× U(1) R-symmetry which should be manifest in the geometry in appropriate coordinates. If we impose rotational symmetry in (x_3, x_4) we introduce a U(1) isometry in the metric under which the pure spinors are charged, so this is the U(1) R-symmetry. This motivates the change of coordinates

$$x_1 = f(r,y)e^{-2\rho} \ , \qquad x_2 = 4ye^{2\rho} \ , \qquad x_3 + ix_4 = 4re^{\frac{1}{2}D(r,y)}e^{i\psi}e^{\rho}, \tag{5.25}$$

where ∂_ψ is part of the R-symmetry and the factors of ρ are chosen such that the internal manifold has no ρ-dependent warping. We then express

$$e^{2C}H_1 = h_1(r,y)dr + h_2(r,y)dy, \tag{5.26}$$

which is the most general form consistent with the isometries. To obtain AdS_5 solutions, we also need to again impose that the cross-terms involving $d\rho$ vanish, and that the coefficient of $d\rho^2$ is correct. By imposing these conditions and that the metric is diagonal in all coordinates we fix the physical fields as

$$e^{2A_5} = e^{2\lambda+2/3\Phi} \ , \qquad e^{-6\lambda} = \frac{-\partial_y D}{y(1-y\partial_y D)} \ , \qquad e^{4/3\Phi-2\lambda} = \frac{r^2e^D + 16f^2e^{6\lambda}}{e^{6\lambda}-y^2}, \tag{5.27}$$

$$h_1 = rye^{D-6\lambda}\frac{2+r\partial_r D}{4f} - 4y\partial_r f \ , \qquad h_2 = \frac{y}{4f}\left(e^{-8\lambda+4/3\Phi}y + e^{D-6\lambda}r^2\partial_y D - 16f\partial_y f\right) \ , \tag{5.28}$$

where the first of these just defines A_5 in terms of an arbitrary function $\lambda(r,y)$. We now have two undefined functions f and D, but we are yet to impose the conditions (4.28c); these lead to

$$f = \frac{2+r\partial_r D}{4(1-y\partial_y D)} \ , \qquad \frac{1}{r}\partial_r(r\partial_r D) + \partial_y^2 e^D = 0 \ . \tag{5.29}$$

up to the subtlety discussed in footnote 8. Thus, D must obey the axially symmetric 3d Toda equation, and f is fixed in terms of D. With these conditions the NS three-form is closed, and the fluxes obey the Bianchi identities. The solutions are then of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= e^{2\lambda+\frac{2}{3}\Phi}\left(4ds^2(AdS_5) + \frac{-y\partial_y D}{1-y\partial_y D}ds^2(S^2) + \frac{-\partial_y D}{y}(dy^2+e^D dr^2)\right) + 4e^{-2\lambda-\frac{2}{3}\Phi+D}r^2d\psi^2, \\
C_1 &= -\frac{2y(2+r\partial_r D)}{\partial_y D}e^{-4\lambda-\frac{4}{3}\Phi}d\psi, \quad C_3 = 2y^3e^{-6\lambda}d\psi\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2), \\
H &= \left(d\left[e^{-6\lambda}\frac{y^2}{\partial_y D}(2y\partial_y D + r\partial_r D)\right] - \partial_y(e^D)rdr + r\partial_r D dy\right)\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

where $F_2 = dC_1$ and $F_4 = dC_3 - H \wedge C_1$. The alert reader might notice that this solution does not actually correspond to imposing rotational symmetry in the (x_1, x_2) plane of [41, Eq. (3.3)] then reducing to IIA along the isometry one has imposed on the solution. The reason for this, as we show in appendix D, is that reducing on this isometry breaks supersymmetry. The resolution is to perfom the reduction on a linear combination of the two[9] U(1)'s at ones disposal in the axially symmetric AdS_5 M-theory solutions which results in (5.30).

Acknowledgements

We are supported in part by INFN and by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP/2007-2013) – ERC Grant Agreement n. 307286 (XD-STRING). AT has also received support by the MIUR-FIRB grant RBFR10QS5J "String Theory and Fundamental Interactions".

A Spinors on S^2

In general in 2d on can define the chiral spinors

$$\xi_\pm \ , \qquad \xi^c_\pm = (\xi_\pm)^c = B_2 \xi^*_\pm = (\xi^c)_\mp \ . \tag{A.1}$$

Let us work with the following basis of gamma matrices:

$$\gamma_1 = \sigma_1 \ , \qquad \gamma_2 = \sigma_2 \ , \qquad \hat{\gamma} = -i\gamma_1\gamma_2 = \sigma_3 \ , \qquad B_2 = \sigma_2 \ , \qquad B_2^{-1}\gamma_\mu B_2 = \gamma^*_\mu. \tag{A.2}$$

In terms of the spinors we can then define the following scalars:

$$|\xi_\pm|^2 = \alpha^2_\pm \ , \qquad \bar{\xi}_-\xi_+ = -(\xi^\dagger_-\xi^c_+)^* = -\bar{\xi}_+\xi_- = (\xi^\dagger_+\xi^c_-)^* = \beta, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\alpha_\pm$ are real and β is complex. In general the scalars and spinors satisfy

$$\beta^*\xi_\pm = \pm\alpha^2_\pm\xi^c_\mp \ , \qquad \beta\xi^c_\pm = \mp\alpha^2_\pm\xi_\mp, \tag{A.4}$$

We define the vectors

$$v^\mu_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\xi^\dagger_\mp\gamma^\mu\xi_\pm \ , \qquad u^\mu_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}_\pm\gamma^\mu\xi_\pm \ , \qquad \overline{u}^\mu_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\xi^\dagger_\pm\gamma^\mu\xi^c_\pm, \tag{A.5}$$

[9] We are ignoring the Cartan of the S^2 as reducing on this would break the SU(2) part of the R-Symmetry.

We then have the following bispinor identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_\pm \otimes \xi_\mp^\dagger = -\xi_\mp^c \otimes \overline{\xi}_\pm = v_\pm, \\
&\xi_\pm \otimes \overline{\xi}_\pm = u_\pm \ , \qquad \xi_\pm^c \otimes \xi_\pm^\dagger = \overline{u}_\pm, \\
&\xi_\pm \otimes \xi_\pm^\dagger = \left(\xi_\pm^c \otimes \overline{\xi}_\pm\right)^* = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\pm^2(1 \pm \hat{\gamma}), \\
&\xi_\pm \otimes \overline{\xi}_\mp = -\left(\xi_\pm^c \otimes \xi_\mp^\dagger\right)^* = \pm\frac{1}{2}\beta(1 \pm \hat{\gamma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Using these we find that the vectors act on the spinors as

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_\pm \xi_\mp = \alpha_\mp^2 \xi_\pm \ , \qquad v_\pm \xi_\pm^c = \mp\beta^* \xi_\pm, \\
&u_\pm \xi_\mp = \mp\beta \xi_\pm \ , \qquad \overline{u}_\pm \xi_\pm = \alpha_\pm^2 \xi_\pm^c \ , \qquad u_\pm \xi_\pm^c = \alpha_\pm^2 \xi_\pm \ , \qquad \overline{u}_\pm \xi_\mp^c = \pm\beta^* \xi_\pm^c,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

with all else giving zero. It is then rather simple to show that

$$v_+ . v_- = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_+^2 \alpha_-^2 \ , \qquad u_\pm . \overline{u}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\pm^4 \ . \tag{A.8}$$

This tells us that v_+ defines a complex vector whose conjugate is v_-, and $u_\pm$ define two complex vectors whose conjugates are $\overline{u}_\pm$. For completeness we quote the remaining non vanishing inner products of the vectors:

$$u_\pm . v_\mp = \mp\frac{1}{2}\beta\alpha_\pm^2 \ , \qquad \overline{u}_\pm . v_\pm = \mp\frac{1}{2}\beta^*\alpha_\pm^2 \ , \qquad u_\pm . u_\mp = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \ , \qquad \overline{u}_\pm . \overline{u}_\mp = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta^*)^2, \tag{A.9}$$

although they are not used in the main text. We will however need certain Lie brackets. For instance one can show that

$$[u_\pm, \overline{u}_\mp] = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\mu\nu}\Big(- 4 v_\pm^\mu v_\pm^\nu + \overline{\xi}_\pm \gamma^{\mu\nu} v_\pm \xi_\mp^c \Big) = 0 \ . \tag{A.10}$$

The full list of non vanishing Lie brackets is

$$\begin{aligned}
&[u_\pm, \overline{u}_\pm] = \pm\alpha_\pm^4 \hat{\gamma} \ , \qquad [u_\pm, u_\mp] = \pm\beta^2 \hat{\gamma}, \\
&[v_\pm, u_\mp] = \alpha_\mp^2 \beta \hat{\gamma} \ , \qquad [v_\pm, \overline{u}_\pm] = -\alpha_\pm^2 \beta^* \hat{\gamma} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

On S^2, there exist in particular Killing spinors, namely $\xi_\pm$ which satisfy

$$\nabla_\mu \xi_\pm = \frac{i}{2}\gamma_\mu \xi_\mp \ , \qquad \nabla_\mu \xi_\pm^c = \frac{i}{2}\gamma_\mu \xi_\mp^c \ . \tag{A.12}$$

From these it follows that

$$\nabla(\xi_\pm^\dagger \xi_\pm) = \nabla(\overline{\xi}_\pm \xi_\pm^c) = \mp i(v_+ - v_-) \ , \qquad \nabla(\overline{\xi}_\mp \xi_\pm) = \mp i(u_+ - u_-) \ , \qquad \nabla(\xi_\mp^\dagger \xi_\pm^c) = \mp i(\overline{u}_+ - \overline{u}_-), \tag{A.13}$$

which tell us that none of the scalar bilinears in (A.3) are constant. However certain combinations are: for instance we have $\nabla(\alpha_+^2 + \alpha_-^2) = 0$, which we can use to set

$$\alpha_+^2 + \alpha_-^2 = 1 \tag{A.14}$$

without loss of generality.

We know that S^2 has a global SU(2) isometry; thus we should be able to define three Killing vectors K^a whose Lie brackets realize the SU(2) Lie algebra:

$$[K^a, K^b] = \epsilon^{abc} K^c \ . \tag{A.15}$$

This means that they should obey

$$\nabla_\mu K^a_\nu = \epsilon_{abc} K^b_\mu K^c_\nu \ . \tag{A.16}$$

These Killing vectors can be found in terms of spinor bilinears as

$$\begin{aligned}
(K^1)^\mu &= (u_+^\mu + u_-^\mu + \overline{u}_+^\mu + \overline{u}_-^\mu), \\
(K^2)^\mu &= -i(u_+^\mu + u_-^\mu - (\overline{u}_+^\mu + \overline{u}_-^\mu)), \\
(K^3)^\mu &= 2(v_+^\mu + v_-^\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

To see that these indeed satisfy (A.16), we can compute their covariant derivatives using (A.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\mu (K^1 + iK^2)_\nu &= -i(\overline{\xi}_+ \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_- + \overline{\xi}_- \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_+) = 2\beta \epsilon_{\mu\nu}, \\
\nabla_\mu (K^1 - iK^2)_\nu &= -i(\xi_+^\dagger \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_-^c + \xi_-^\dagger \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_+^c) = 2\beta^* \epsilon_{\mu\nu}, \\
\nabla_\mu (K^3)_\nu &= -i(\xi_+^\dagger \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_+ + \xi_-^\dagger \gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi_-) = (\alpha_+^2 - \alpha_-^2) \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

These are all antisymmetric; so indeed $\nabla_{(\mu} K^a_{\nu)} = 0$, and so the K^a are Killing vectors. To show they obey the SU(2) relations, we can use the Lie bracket in (A.10) and that

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} [K^b, K^c] = \epsilon_{abc} K^b_\mu K^c_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu} \ , \qquad \hat{\gamma} = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{A.19}$$

For example

$$\epsilon_{3bc} K^b_\mu K^c_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu} = [K^1, K^2] = 2i([u_+, \overline{u}_+] + [u_-, \overline{u}_-]) = (\alpha_+^2 + \alpha_-^2)(\alpha_+^2 - \alpha_-^2) \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{A.20}$$

which given (A.14) is equal to $\nabla_\mu (K_3)_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu}$. One can check the other SU(2) relations in a similar fashion.

Having established that K^a are the SU(2) Killing vectors, we choose to parameterise them as

$$K^a = \epsilon_{abc} y_b dy_c \ , \qquad , \tag{A.21}$$

in terms of the "embedding coordinates" y^a for the S^2, which obey

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1 \ . \tag{A.22}$$

Consistency of the SU(2) algebra relation with (A.18) then implies that

$$\alpha_\pm^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm y_3) \ , \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + i y_2) \ . \tag{A.23}$$

The spinorial Lie derivative along one of these Killing vectors is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{K^a}\xi_\pm &= (K^a)^\mu \nabla_\mu \xi_\pm + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_\mu K^a_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu}\xi_\pm, \\ &= \frac{i}{2}\left(K^a \xi_\mp - \frac{i}{2}(\nabla K^a)\xi_\mp\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

and similarly for $\xi^c_\pm$. Using (A.7) and (A.18) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} &K^1\xi_\pm = \mp\beta\xi_\mp - \alpha_\pm^2\xi^c_\pm \ , \qquad K^1\xi^c_\pm = \alpha_\pm^2\xi_\pm \mp \beta^*\xi^c_\mp \ , \qquad (\nabla K^1) = 2i(\beta+\beta^*)\hat{\gamma} \\ &K^2\xi_\pm = -i(\pm\beta\xi_\mp - \alpha_\pm^2\xi^c_\pm) \ , \qquad K^2\xi^c_\pm = i(-\alpha_\pm^2\xi_\pm \mp \beta^*\xi^c_\mp) \ , \qquad (\nabla K^2) = 2(\beta-\beta^*)\hat{\gamma} \\ &K^3\xi_\pm = 2\alpha_\pm^2\xi_\mp \ , \qquad K^3\xi^c_\pm = -2\alpha_\pm^2\xi^c_\mp \ , \qquad (\nabla K^3) = 2i(\alpha_+^2 - \alpha_-^2)\hat{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

which is sufficient to show that

$$\vec{\xi}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\pm \\ \xi^c_\mp \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.26}$$

transforms as a doublet. For instance,

$$K^3\vec{\xi}_\mp - \frac{i}{2}(\nabla K^3)\vec{\xi}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_\mp^2 \mp (\alpha_\pm^2 - \alpha_\mp^2)\hat{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\alpha_\pm^2 \mp (\alpha_\pm^2 - \alpha_\mp^2)\hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\pm \\ \xi^c_\mp \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_3 \vec{\xi}_\pm. \tag{A.27}$$

Performing similar calculations for $K^{1,2}$ one can show that in general

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^a}\vec{\xi} = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_a\vec{\xi} \ . \tag{A.28}$$

Actually (A.26) is not the most general doublet: we can add a phase to each component without changing the transformation properties. In fact the most general doublet we can write is

$$\vec{\xi}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\pm \\ e^{i\delta}\xi^c_\mp \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{A.29}$$

A possible phase in the first entry can be absorbed into the definition of $\xi_\pm$.

B Pure spinors from six to four dimensions

In terms of the vectors introduced in appendix A, one can parametrize the bispinors on S^2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\pm \otimes \xi_\pm^\dagger &= \frac{1}{4}(1 \pm y_3)(1 \mp ie^{2C}\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)) \ , \qquad \xi_\pm \otimes \xi_\mp^\dagger = \frac{1}{4}e^C(K_3 \pm i dy_3), \\
\xi_\pm \otimes \overline{\xi}_\pm &= \frac{1}{4}e^C(K_z \pm i dz) \ , \qquad \xi_\pm \otimes \overline{\xi}_\mp = \pm\frac{1}{4}z(1 \mp ie^{2C}\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where we define $z = y_1 + iy_2$, $K_z = K_1 + iK_2$ to ease presentation. This means the 6d bispinors implied by (3.1) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_- = \frac{1}{4}\Big[&\Psi^1_+ - \Psi^1_- + y_3(\Psi^1_+ + \Psi^1_-) + e^C K_3 \wedge (\Psi^2_+ + \Psi^2_-) \\
&+ i\Big(e^C dy_3 \wedge (\Psi^2_+ - \Psi^2_-) - e^{2C}\mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \wedge (\Psi^1_+ + \Psi^1_-) - e^{2C} y_3 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \wedge (\Psi^1_+ - \Psi^1_-)\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\Phi_+ = \frac{1}{4}\Big[z(\tilde{\Psi}^2_+ - \tilde{\Psi}^2_-) - e^C K_z \wedge (\tilde{\Psi}^1_+ - \tilde{\Psi}^1_-) - i\Big(e^C dz \wedge (\tilde{\Psi}^1_+ + \tilde{\Psi}^1_-) + z e^{2C}\mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \wedge (\tilde{\Psi}^2_+ + \tilde{\Psi}^2_-)\Big)\Big].$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^1_\pm &= \eta^1_\pm \otimes \eta^{2\dagger}_\mp \ , \qquad \Psi^2_\pm = \eta^1_\pm \otimes \eta^{2\dagger}_\pm, \\
\tilde{\Psi}^1_\pm &= \eta^1_\pm \otimes \overline{\eta}^2_\mp \ , \qquad \tilde{\Psi}^2_\pm = \eta^1_\pm \otimes \overline{\eta}^2_\pm.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

These are in fact the even and odd parts of (3.4).

Plugging (B.2) in the supersymmetry conditions (3.3) gives 8 independent conditions on M_4. To express these we decompose the NSNS three-form as

$$H = H_3 + e^{2C} H_1 \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2) \ , \qquad H = dB \ , \qquad B = B_2 + e^{2C} B_0 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2). \tag{B.4}$$

We obtain two equations from (3.3a):

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{3A+C-\Phi}\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathrm{odd}}\big) = ie^{3A-\Phi}\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\hat{\gamma}}, \tag{B.5a}$$

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{3A+2C-\Phi}\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathrm{even}}\big) = ie^{3A+2C-\Phi}H_1 \wedge \tilde{\Psi}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\hat{\gamma}} + 2ie^{3A+C-\Phi}\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}; \tag{B.5b}$$

four from (3.3b):

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{2A-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}\big) = 0, \tag{B.6a}$$

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{2A+C-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{even}}_{\hat{\gamma}}\big) = e^{2A-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}, \tag{B.6b}$$

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}\big) = e^{2A+2C-\Phi}H_1 \wedge \mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}, \tag{B.6c}$$

$$d_{H_3}\big(e^{2A+2C-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}\big) = e^{2A+2C-\Phi}H_1 \wedge \mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}} + 2e^{2A+C-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{even}} \ ; \tag{B.6d}$$

and finally, two from (3.3c):

$$d_{H_3}\left(e^{4A+C-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{even}}\right) = e^{4A-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{odd}, \tag{B.7a}$$

$$d_{H_3}\left(e^{4A+2C-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}\right) = e^{4A+2C-\Phi}H_1\wedge\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}} - 2e^{4A+C-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{even}}\ ., \tag{B.7b}$$

The remaining conditions imply the fluxes. To express these we decompose the internal part of RR polyforms as

$$f = F + e^{2C}\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)\wedge G, \tag{B.8}$$

which leads to the flux conditions

$$d_{H_3}\left(e^{4A-\Phi}\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{4A}\star_4\lambda(G), \tag{B.9a}$$

$$d_{H_3}\left(e^{4A+2C-\Phi}\mathrm{Re}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}\right) = -e^{4A+2C-\Phi}H_1\wedge\mathrm{Im}\Psi^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2}e^{4A+2C}\star_4\lambda(F). \tag{B.9b}$$

These conditions are rather restrictive: for example, it is already clear that the zero form parts of Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{\hat{\gamma}}$ must vanish, (3.6).

C Alternative classification of $b = a_1 = 0$ case

In this appendix we give an alternative classification to section 4.3, in term of B_0 rather than $H_1 = e^{-2C}d(e^{2C}B_0)$. Upon setting $b = a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 1$ one can show that the supersymmetry conditions of appendix B all follow from

$$B_2 = 0, \tag{C.1a}$$

$$d(e^A w) = 0, \quad d(e^{2A+C-\Phi}) + e^{2A-\Phi}v_2 = 0, \quad d(e^{-2A+\Phi}(v_1 + B_0 v_2)) = 0, \tag{C.1b}$$

$$d(e^{-\Phi}v_1)\wedge w\wedge\overline{w} = 0, \quad d(e^{2C-\Phi}(B_0 v_1 - v_2))\wedge w\wedge\overline{w} = 0, \tag{C.1c}$$

One can solve the conditions (C.1b) locally by defining the vielbein in terms of local coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_4)$ as

$$v_1 = e^{2A-\Phi}dx_1 + B_0 e^{-2A+\Phi}dx_2, \qquad v_2 = -e^{-2A+\Phi}dx_2, \qquad w = e^{-A}(dx_3 + i dx_4), \qquad x_2 = e^{2A+B-\Phi},$$

it then follows that (C.1c) imposes the PDEs

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_2}}\left(e^{2A-2\Phi}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_1}}\left(e^{-2A}B_0\right),$$

$$\partial_{x_2}\left(x_2^2 e^{-2A}B_0\right) = \partial_{x_1}\left(x_2^2 e^{-6A+2\Phi}(1+B_0^2)\right), \tag{C.2}$$

but place no restriction on the various functions dependence on (x_3, x_4), so we only have an a prior $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ factor in these solutions. Following the same prescription as the main text on can establish that the fluxes are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
B =& x_2^2 e^{-4A+2\Phi} B_0 \mathrm{Vol}(S^2),\\
F_2 =& \big(\partial_{x_4}(e^{2A-2\Phi})dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}(e^{2A-2\Phi})dx_4\big)\wedge dx_1 + \big(\partial_{x_4}(e^{-2A}B_0)dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}(e^{-2A}B_0)dx_4\big)\wedge dx_2\\
&- \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A})dx_3\wedge dx_4,\\
F_4 =& B\wedge F_2 + x_2^2\Big[- \big(\partial_{x_4}(e^{-2A}B_0)dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}(e^{-2A}B_0)dx_4\big)\wedge dx_1 \qquad\qquad (C.3)\\
&+ \big(\partial_{x_4}(e^{-6A+2\Phi}(1+B_0^2))dx_3 - \partial_{x_3}(e^{-6A+2\Phi}(1+B_0^2))dx_4\big)\wedge dx_2 - \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})dx_3\wedge dx_4\Big]\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2),
\end{aligned}$$

the metric is

$$ds^2 = e^{2A}ds^2(\mathbb{R}_{1,3}) + e^{-4A+2\Phi}\Big(dx_2^2 + x_2^2 ds^2(S^2)\Big) + e^{-2A}\Big(dx_3^2 + dx_4^2\Big) + e^{4A-2\Phi}\Big(dx_1 + B_0 e^{-4A+2\Phi}dx_2\Big)^2.$$

Ensuring that the fluxes obey the correct Bianchi identities imposed the following PDEs

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{x_3}^2(e^{2A-2\Phi}) + \partial_{x_4}^2(e^{2A-2\Phi}) + \partial_{x_1}^2(e^{-4A}) = 0,\\
&\partial_{x_3}^2(e^{-2A}B_0) + \partial_{x_4}^2(e^{-2A}B_0) + \partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A}) = 0,\\
&\partial_{x_3}^2(x_2^2 e^{-6A+2\Phi}(1+B_0^2)) + \partial_{x_4}^2(x_2^2 e^{-6A+2\Phi}(1+B_0^2)) + \partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})) = 0. \qquad (C.4)
\end{aligned}$$

We can take the first equation of (C.2) as an integrability condition implying the existence of $h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ such that

$$e^{2A-2\Phi} = \partial_{x_1}h, \qquad e^{-2A}B_0 = \partial_{x_2}h, \qquad (C.5)$$

the second equations then implies

$$\frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}h) = \partial_{x_1}k, \qquad k = \frac{e^{-4A} + (\partial_{x_2}h)^2}{\partial_{x_1}h}, \qquad (C.6)$$

and the Bianchi identity conditions become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{x_i}\big(\partial_{x_3}^2 h + \partial_{x_4}^2 h + \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A})\big) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2,\\
&\partial_{x_3}^2 k + \partial_{x_4}^2 k + \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2\partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})) = 0. \qquad (C.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The first of these can be integrated in terms of an arbitrary function $l(x_3, x_4)$ to give the coupled system

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= \frac{e^{-4A} + (\partial_{x_2} h)^2}{\partial_{x_1} h}, \\
\frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2} h) &= \partial_{x_1} k, \\
\partial_{x_3}^2 h + \partial_{x_4}^2 h + \partial_{x_1}(e^{-4A}) &= l, \\
\partial_{x_3}^2 k + \partial_{x_4}^2 k + \frac{1}{x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}(x_2^2 \partial_{x_2}(e^{-4A})) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

which looks hard to disentangle.

D The General $AdS_5 \times S^2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions in M-theory

In [23] a class of $AdS_5 \times S^2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solution in M-theory was presented which was later argued to give the general local form of such solutions [41, 42]. They take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= e^{2\lambda}\left(4ds^2(AdS_5) + y^2 e^{-6\lambda} ds^2(S^2)\right) + \frac{4}{(1 - y\partial_y D)} e^{2\lambda} \left(d\chi + V\right)^2, \\
&\quad + \frac{-\partial_y D}{y} e^{2\lambda}\left(dy^2 + e^D (d\hat{x}_1^2 + d\hat{x}_2^2)\right), \\
e^{-6\lambda} &= \frac{-\partial_y D}{y(1 - y\partial_y D)}, \quad V = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_2} d\hat{x}_1 - \partial_{\hat{x}_1} d\hat{x}_2), \\
G_4 &= \left[2(d\chi + V) \wedge d\left(y^3 e^{-6\lambda}\right) + 2y(1 - y^2 e^{-6\lambda}) dV + \partial_y D d\hat{x}_1 \wedge d\hat{x}_2\right] \wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

and are governed by the Toda equation

$$\partial_{\hat{x}_1}^2 D + \partial_{\hat{x}_2}^2 D + \partial_y^2 e^D = 0. \tag{D.2}$$

Starting from [43, App. B], Wick rotating[10] and using the formula in [45] relating SU(2) to SU(3) structures for AdS_5 solutions of M-theory we can express the SU(3) structure of (D.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= e^{-2\lambda}\left(y_3(dy + 2yd\rho) + ydy_3\right), \\
J &= \frac{i}{2}\left(\hat{E}_1 \wedge \overline{\hat{E}}_1 + \hat{E}_2 \wedge \overline{\hat{E}}_2 + \hat{E}_3 \wedge \overline{\hat{E}}_3\right), \quad \Omega = \hat{E}_1 \wedge \hat{E}_2 \wedge \hat{E}_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

[10] One could also start from [44], and avoid this step.

where

$$\hat{E}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{-\partial_y D}{y}} e^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}D}\Big(d\hat{x}_1 + i d\hat{x}_2\Big),$$
$$\hat{E}_2 = e^{-2\lambda}\Big((y_1+iy_2)(dy+2ydp) + yd(y_1+iy_2)\Big),$$
$$\hat{E}_3 = -e^{i\chi}\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-y\partial_y D}} e^{-2\lambda}\Big(d\rho + \frac{1}{2}\partial_y D dy + i(d\psi + V)\Big), \tag{D.4}$$

and we parametrises $ds^2(AdS_5) = e^{2\rho}ds^2(\mathbb{R}_{1,3}) + d\rho^2$ and $ds^2(S^2) = dy_1^2 + dy_2^2 + dy_3^2$ for $y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1$. These G-structure forms obey the relations

$$d(e^{2(\rho+\lambda)}K) = d(e^{3(\rho+\lambda)}\Omega) = d(e^{2(\rho+\lambda)}J\wedge J) + 2e^{2(\rho+\lambda)}G\wedge K = 0, \quad d(e^{4(\rho+\lambda)}J) = e^{4(\rho+\lambda)}\star_7 G_4,$$

where $\star_7$ is taken on the part of the metric that is not $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$, which means the solution is indeed supersymmetric [46].

We want to reduce this to IIA and see if it falls within the class of solutions in section 5.4. To this end we set $\hat{x}_1 = r\cos\beta$, $\hat{x}_2 = r\sin\beta$ and impose that β is an isometry. This modifies

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_r D d\beta, \quad \hat{E}_1 = e^{i\beta}\sqrt{\frac{-\partial_y D}{y}} e^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}D}(dr + ir d\beta), \quad d\hat{x}_1\wedge d\hat{x}_2 = r dr\wedge d\beta, \quad \frac{1}{r}\partial_r(r\partial_r D) + \partial_y^2 e^D = 0,$$

and leave the rest unchanged. We notice then that Ω now depends on the phase $e^{i(\chi+\beta)}$ which suggests that the U(1) factor of the total $U(1)\times SU(2)$ R-symmetry is in fact given by $\psi = \chi + \beta$, not χ. In other words one needs to set $\chi = \psi - \beta$, before reducing on β if we want supersymmetry to be preserved. The flux then decomposes as

$$G_4 = dC_3 + e^{2C}H_1\wedge \mathrm{Vol}(S^2)\wedge d\beta, \quad C_3 = 2y^3 e^{-6\lambda}d\psi\wedge\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)$$
$$e^{2B}H_1 = d\Big[e^{-6\lambda}\frac{y^2}{\partial_y D}(2y\partial_y D + r\partial_r D)\Big] - \partial_y(e^D)r dr + r\partial_r D dy. \tag{D.5}$$

Substituting for χ in eq (D.4) and rotating to a reduction frame we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
K &= e^{-\frac{1}{3}\Phi}\Big(e^{-2A+\Phi}4ye^{2\rho}dy_3 + y_3e^{-2A+\Phi}d(4ye^{2\rho})\Big),\\
\hat{E}_1 &= -e^{-\frac{1}{3}\Phi}e^{-A}d\Big(4re^{\rho+\frac{1}{2}D}e^{i\psi}\Big),\\
\hat{E}_2 &= -e^{-\frac{1}{3}\Phi}\Big(e^{-2A+\Phi}4ye^{2\rho}d(y_1+iy_2) + (y_1+iy_2)e^{-2A+\Phi}d(4ye^{2\rho})\Big),\\
\hat{E}_3 &= -e^{-\frac{1}{3}\Phi}e^{2A-\Phi}\left(d\left(\frac{e^{-2\rho}}{-4\partial_y D}y(2+r\partial_r D)\right) + \frac{1}{4ye^{2\rho}}e^{2B}H_1\right)\\
&\quad + ie^{\frac{2}{3}\Phi}\left(d\beta - 2y\frac{(2+r\partial_r D)}{\partial_y D}e^{-4(A-\rho)}d\psi\right),\\
e^{2A} &= 4e^{2\rho+2\lambda+\frac{2\Phi}{3}}, \quad e^{4\Phi} = \frac{e^{-4\lambda}}{-y\partial_y D}\Big[r^2(\partial_y D)^2e^D + y^2(2+r\partial_r D)^2\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

from which we read off the local IIA coordinates of section 5.4

$$
x_1 = e^{-2\rho}\frac{2+r\partial_r D}{4(1-y\partial_y D)}, \quad x_2 = 4ye^{2\rho}, \quad x_3 + ix_4 = 4re^{\rho+\frac{1}{2}D}e^{i\psi}. \tag{D.7}
$$

by comparing to eq (3.12).

References

[1] G. W. Gibbons, "Aspects of Supergravity Theories,". Three lectures given at GIFT Seminar on Theoretical Physics, San Feliu de Guixols, Spain, Jun 4-11, 1984.

[2] B. de Wit, D. J. Smit, and N. D. Hari Dass, "Residual Supersymmetry of Compactified $D = 10$ Supergravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B283** (1987) 165.

[3] J. M. Maldacena and C. Núñez, "Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no-go theorem," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 822–855, hep-th/0007018.

[4] M. Graña and J. Polchinski, "Supersymmetric three-form flux perturbations on AdS_5," *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 026001, hep-th/0009211.

[5] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, "Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications," *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 106006, hep-th/0105097.

[6] K. Becker and M. Becker, "M-theory on eight-manifolds," *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 155–167, hep-th/9605053.

[7] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh, and S. Sethi, "M theory, orientifolds and G-flux," *JHEP* **08** (1999) 023, hep-th/9908088.

[8] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, and A. Tomasiello, "Generalized structures of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vacua," *JHEP* **11** (2005) 020, hep-th/0505212.

[9] N. Hitchin, "Generalized Calabi–Yau manifolds," *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **54** (2003) 281–308, math.dg/0209099.

[10] M. Gualtieri, "Generalized complex geometry," math/0401221. Ph.D. Thesis (Advisor: Nigel Hitchin).

[11] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, and A. Tomasiello, "A scan for new $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vacua on twisted tori," *JHEP* **05** (2007) 031, hep-th/0609124.

[12] B. S. Acharya, F. Benini, and R. Valandro, "Fixing moduli in exact type IIA flux vacua," *JHEP* **02** (2007) 018, hep-th/0607223.

[13] O. DeWolfe, A. Giryavets, S. Kachru, and W. Taylor, "Type IIA moduli stabilization," *JHEP* **07** (2005) 066, hep-th/0505160.

[14] M. Petrini, G. Solard, and T. Van Riet, "AdS vacua with scale separation from IIB supergravity," *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 010, 1308.1265.

[15] F. Saracco and A. Tomasiello, "Localized O6-plane solutions with Romans mass," *JHEP* **1207** (2012) 077, 1201.5378.

[16] D. Andriot, "New supersymmetric vacua on solvmanifolds," *JHEP* **02** (2016) 112, 1507.00014.

[17] D. Andriot, J. Blåbäck, and T. Van Riet, "Minkowski flux vacua of type II supergravities," 1609.00729.

[18] P. Candelas, A. Constantin, C. Damian, M. Larfors, and J. F. Morales, "Type IIB flux vacua from G-theory I," *JHEP* **02** (2015) 187, 1411.4785.

[19] P. Candelas, A. Constantin, C. Damian, M. Larfors, and J. F. Morales, "Type IIB flux vacua from G-theory II," *JHEP* **02** (2015) 188, 1411.4786.

[20] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, D. Rosa, and A. Tomasiello, "All AdS_7 solutions of type II supergravity," *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 064, 1309.2949.

[21] A. Rota and A. Tomasiello, "AdS_4 compactifications of AdS_7 solutions in type II supergravity," *JHEP* **07** (2015) 076, 1502.06622.

[22] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, A. Passias, A. Rota, and A. Tomasiello, "Six-Dimensional Superconformal Theories and their Compactifications from Type IIA Supergravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015), no. 6, 061601, 1502.06616.

[23] H. Lin, O. Lunin, and J. M. Maldacena, "Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries," *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 025, hep-th/0409174.

[24] D. Youm, "Localized intersecting BPS branes," hep-th/9902208.

[25] Y. Imamura, "1/4 BPS solutions in massive IIA supergravity," *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **106** (2001) 653–670, hep-th/0105263.

[26] B. Janssen, P. Meessen, and T. Ortin, "The D8-brane tied up: String and brane solutions in massive type IIA supergravity," *Phys.Lett.* **B453** (1999) 229–236, hep-th/9901078.

[27] N. Bobev, G. Dibitetto, F. F. Gautason, and B. Truijen, "Holography, Brane Intersections and Six-dimensional SCFTs," 1612.06324.

[28] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, A. Passias, D. Rosa, and A. Tomasiello, "AdS_6 solutions of type II supergravity," *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 099, 1406.0852.

[29] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, A. Passias, and A. Tomasiello, "Supersymmetric AdS_5 solutions of massive IIA supergravity," *JHEP* **06** (2015) 195, 1502.06620.

[30] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Intersecting M-branes," *Phys. Lett.* **B380** (1996) 273–279, hep-th/9603087.

[31] A. A. Tseytlin, "Harmonic superpositions of M-branes," *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 149–163, hep-th/9604035.

[32] J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor, and J. H. Traschen, "Overlapping branes in M theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B478** (1996) 544–560, hep-th/9604179.

[33] M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, "T-duality, Generalized Geometry and Non-Geometric Backgrounds," *JHEP* **04** (2009) 075, 0807.4527.

[34] A. Tomasiello, "Geometrical methods for string compactifications." http://virgilio.mib.infn.it/~atom/laces.pdf.

[35] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle, and C. F. Uhlemann, "Holographic duals for five-dimensional superconformal quantum field theories," 1611.09411.

[36] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle, A. Karch, and C. F. Uhlemann, "Warped $AdS_6 \times S^2$ in Type IIB supergravity I: Local solutions," *JHEP* **08** (2016) 046, 1606.01254.

[37] S. Cremonesi and A. Tomasiello, "6d holographic anomaly match as a continuum limit," 1512.02225.

[38] A. Brandhuber and Y. Oz, "The D4–D8 brane system and five-dimensional fixed points," *Phys.Lett.* **B460** (1999) 307–312, hep-th/9905148.

[39] A. Passias, "A note on supersymmetric AdS_6 solutions of massive type IIA supergravity," *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 113, 1209.3267.

[40] H. Kim, N. Kim, and M. Suh, "Supersymmetric AdS_6 Solutions of Type IIB Supergravity," *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015), no. 10, 484, 1506.05480.

[41] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, "The Gravity duals of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories," *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 189, 0904.4466.

[42] E. O Colgain, J.-B. Wu, and H. Yavartanoo, "On the generality of the LLM geometries in M-theory," *JHEP* **04** (2011) 002, 1010.5982.

[43] O. Lunin, "Brane webs and 1/4-BPS geometries," *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 028, 0802.0735.

[44] I. Bah, "Quarter-BPS AdS_5 solutions in M-theory with a T^2 bundle over a Riemann surface," *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 137, 1304.4954.

[45] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and D. Waldram, "Supersymmetric AdS_5 solutions of M theory," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** (2004) 4335–4366, hep-th/0402153.

[46] P. Kaste, R. Minasian, and A. Tomasiello, "Supersymmetric M-theory compactifications with fluxes on seven-manifolds and G-structures," *JHEP* **07** (2003) 004, hep-th/0303127.